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# BERMUDIAN SPRINGS SCHOOL DISTRICT 

## OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SUPERINTENDENT

Dr. Michele S. Hartzell
NARRATIVE SUMMARY

## PSAT

This report is provided by the College Board and depicts student performance in the areas of reading, math, and writing. The Preliminary Scholastic Aptitude Test (PSAT) benchmarks represent the scores students should meet or exceed to be considered on track to be college and career ready. Fifty-nine (59) students participated in the exam, an increase of thirty-one (31) students from the previous school year. Bermudian Springs students scored at or above the state averages in both critical reading and writing skills and slightly below (.2) the national average of 46.6 in critical reading and (.7) in writing. They scored an average of 46.4 in math which was 1.3 points below the state average of 47.7 and 1.4 points below the national average of 47.8 .

## SAT

During the 2013-2014 school year, a total of seventy-nine (79) BSHS students were administered the Scholastic Aptitude Test (SAT). The average scores were: 522 (Mathematics), 511 (Reading), 495 (Writing) for a total average score of 1528, an increase of 62.9 points from last year. Students increased an average of 14.3 points in math, 32.3 points in reading, and 16.4 points in writing. Students scored higher than 2013 national and state averages in all areas. The 2014 state and national averages will be released in late summer.

## College In The High School

During the 2013-2014 school year, the district offered the following college in the high school courses: PreCalculus, History, English, and Statistics. Seventy-eight (78) students participated in the respective programs. This was an increase of sixteen (16) students from last year. Sixty-nine students or $88 \%$ were eligible for college credit.

## Drop-Out Profile

There were four (4) drop-outs reported during the 2013-2014 school year. This was a decrease of five students from last year's total of nine (9). The district continues to provide opportunities and interventions to help all students graduate from high school.

## STAR Assessments/Accelerated Reader Middle School

The STAR Assessment is a thirty minute computer adaptive benchmark assessment that was administered four times to all students in grades 5-8 in reading and math. The reports were used to help teachers monitor struggling learners, identify students who needed enrichment, and identify student reading levels. The reading level data was used in the Accelerated Reading program to help identify appropriate book levels for independent and instructional reading groups. Students in grades 5-8 read 5,842 books and demonstrated proficiency of $80 \%$ or higher on 4,894 on-line comprehension quizzes.

## Fountas and Pinnell (F \& P)

The Fountas and Pinnell Benchmark Reading Assessment (F \& P) measures a student's reading fluency and comprehension. The assessment was administered two times during this school year, Fall and Spring, for all students in Grades K-4. In addition to the reading assessment, the school implemented the writing and vocabulary portions of the F \& P benchmark to any student that scored one year above grade level. Data was used to guide instruction, create guided reading groups, as well as provide acceleration or remediation to students. The grade level growth progress is included in this document.

PSSA 2012-2013 (2014 PSSA results will be available in late summer)
The annual Pennsylvania System of School Assessment (PSSA) is a standards-based, criterion-referenced assessment used to measure a student's attainment of the PA standards. The PSSA also helps districts determine the degree to which school programs are effective in helping students to attain academic proficiency. Every Pennsylvania student in grades 3 through 8 is assessed in reading and math. Every Pennsylvania student in grades 5 and 8 is assessed in writing. Every Pennsylvania student in grades 4 and 8 is assessed in science.

The results are used to assist teachers in identifying students who may be in need of additional educational opportunities, as well as used to provide information to schools and the district to guide curriculum and instruction discussions. During the 2012-2013 school year, Bermudian Springs students scored above the state level in grades $3,4,7$, and 8 in math; however, it is noted that $5^{\text {th }}$ grade student math scores increased by nine points from the previous year. Students scored above the state level in grades 3-7 in reading. In the two grades tested for science, 4 and 8, both grade levels scored above the state level. PSSA proficiency scores, including grades 5 and 8 writing, are included in this report (no state scores were published for writing in 2013).

## KEYSTONE EXAMS (2014 Keystone results will be available in late summer)

Keystone exams are end of course assessments designed to evaluate student proficiency in academic content in Algebra I, Literature, and Biology. Beginning with the class of 2017, students must demonstrate proficiency on the Algebra I, Literature, and Biology Keystone Exams to graduate. Students will be provided multiple opportunities to demonstrate proficiency on the Keystone Exams. In addition, Bermudian Springs School District provides Keystone Prep courses to any student that scores basic or below basic on a Keystone exam. Keystone Exam proficiency is reported in the eleventh grade and is based upon best scores of the cohort.

During the 2012-2013 school year, the Bermudian Springs $11^{\text {th }}$ grade Keystone Exam cohort scores were as follows: $70.4 \%$ were proficient or advanced on the Algebra I Keystone exam, $83.8 \%$ were proficient or advanced on the Literature Keystone Exam, and $45.77 \%$ were proficient or advanced on the Biology Keystone Exam. All building level Keystone Exam scores for the $11^{\text {th }}$ grade cohort were on or above the state level.

## READ 180

On average, the READ 180 program anticipates that students will gain or grow approximately 75-100 Lexile points per year. A Lexile level is a range based on how difficult a text is read and comprehend. A Lexile measure can range from below 200L for beginning readers to above 1600L for advanced readers. Thirty (30) students participated in READ 180 in the middle school. Of these, thirteen students (43\%) met or exceeded the average gain in Lexile points anticipated. Eight additional students (27\%) showed positive gains in Lexile level, but not to the level anticipated. Nine students (30\%) showed a negative growth pattern. It is necessary to look at the strengths and weaknesses in the individual progress reports to judge the impact of the READ 180 when assessing skills with this reading assessment. Frequency of participation, students withdrawing and reentering the program, and severity of disability all have an impact on student achievement and growth patterns. The Individual Educational Programs are examined for any necessary revisions if inconsistent growth patterns are identified or if students fail to make expected growth for more than two years in a row.

## STAR Assessment- Learning Support

The STAR Reading Assessment provided the learning support program with an additional assessment to monitor reading skills and progress throughout the school year. Students were excited to have a leveled library to be able to pick from reading selections appropriate to their instructional level for independent reading. Students read and took quizzes on several of these leveled books throughout the year and were assessed several times during the school year. The additional minutes spent reading, appears to have helped increase reading fluency and comprehension. The students' scaled scores indicate that 33 ( $80.5 \%$ ) of the 41 students that were monitored increased their scaled scores, while eight (19.5\%) students failed to make growth based on their pretest scores.

# PSAT/NMSQT* 

# 2013-14 SOAS <br> Summary of Answers and Skills Report 

# Wednesday Form: Juniors, Class of 2015 BERMUDIAN SPRINGS HIGH SCHOOL (XMKXXXXX 



PSAT/NMSQT results for your students are enclosed. Use this information to adjust curricula and instructional practice to improve student learning.

Download this report, state alignments, test questions and answer explanations at:

## scores.collegeboard.org

Your access code is $\mathbf{X X X X X}$

## Performance Overview

This report details the performance of 59 students from the 11th grade. This page provides an overview of your students' performance on the PSAT/NMSQT, allowing you to make general comparisons of your student population with the state and nation. The remainder of the report will assist you in analyzing your students' performance in much greater detail - use it to identify gaps in your local curriculum or to adapt instruction.

For each section of the test (critical reading, mathematics and writing skills), you will find the following:

- Skill Analysis: A summary of your students' performance on the college readiness skills tested on the PSAT/NMSQT.
- Question Analysis: A detailed analysis of your students' responses to each PSAT/NMSQT question.

11th GRADE STUDENTS

- Comparable Group Analysis:

A statistical comparison highlighting anomalies in your students' performance on each PSAT/NMSQT question.

## Score Distribution

The "boxplots" to the right show the distribution of test scores for your students, as well as for the state and nation. When interpreting these results, focus on typical scores (means and medians), variability in scores (standard deviations and lengths of boxes), and shapes of distributions (position of boxes relative to the median). Plots that have boxes that are off-center reveal that a greater proportion of students are high-scoring (the box to the right) or low-scoring (box appears to the left).


Note: The minimum and maximum exclude outliers.

| Test Section | Group | Mean <br> Score | Standard Deviation | Score Distribution |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 |
| Critical Reading | School | 46.4 | 8.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | State | 46.1 | 10.8 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Nation | 46.6 | 11.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mathematics | School | 46.4 | 8.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | State | 47.7 | 11.0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Nation | 47.8 | 11.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Writing Skills | School | 44.6 | 7.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | State | 44.6 | 11.0 | 1 |  |  |  |  | ' |  |
|  | Nation | 45.3 | 10.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## College and Career Readiness Benchmarks

The PSAT/NMSQT College and Career Readiness Benchmarks represent the scores that a student should meet or exceed to be considered on track to be college and career ready (for more information about benchmarks, visit www.collegeboard.org/results).

The pie chart shows the percentage of your students who have met the PSAT/NMSQT composite score benchmark (142 for juniors and 133 for sophomores). Use this information with the Roster of Student Scores and Plans or optional Student Data File CD to identify students who may need extra support or who may be ready for more rigorous course work.

OnTrack to be College and Career Ready


[^0] College-Bound Sophomores and J uniors reports available at scores.collegeboard.org

## Critical Reading Skill Analysis

The left column indicates how many questions your students answered correctly. The right column shows your students' performance on each question by skill category. Interpret this information with standards alignments and test questions and answer explanations available atscores.collegeboard.org


CollegeBoard

Critical Reading Question Analysis
This section shows the distribution of correct and incorrect answers for your students, state and nation. Take the next step and develop instructional remediation plans by reviewing questions and explanations at scores.collegeboard.org


## Critical Reading Question Analysis



[^1]
## Critical Reading Comparable Group Analysis

## What is a comparable group?

A comparable group is a statistically generated group that allows you to identify questions where your students' performance diverges from what might be expected based on their overall performance. It is a sample of students whose overall performance was similar to the performance of your student population. This group is compiled based on performance only, and does not account for attributes like student demographics, geographic region or school size.

## How is this information useful?

Focusing on questions where your students' performance is significantly lower than the comparable group performance can reveal opportunities where you might realistically expect to improve student learning. Similarly, when your students' performance is significantly higher than the comparable group performance, you can identify strengths in your curriculum and instruction, and work to ensure that those strengths are recognized and maintained.


[^2]CollegeBoard

## Mathematics Skill Analysis

The left column indicates how many questions your students answered correctly. The right column shows your students' performance on each question by skill category. Interpret this information with standards alignments and test questions and answer explanations available atscores.collegeboard.org


CollegeBoard

## Mathematics Skill Analysis

The left column indicates how many questions your students answered correctly. The right column shows your students' performance on each question by skill category. Interpret this information with standards alignments and test questions and answer explanations available atscores.collegeboard.org

| Group |  | Difficulty |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| g | School | Easy (1-3) |
| 6 | State | Medium (4-6) |
| 5 | Nation | Hard (7-9) |

Percent of Students Responding Correctly

## Problem Solving

Solve abstract and practical problems, applying and adapting a variety of strategies. Monitor progress and evaluate answers in terms of questions asked.
5.8 of $\mathbf{1 0}$ questions correct


## Representation

Use and translate among representations including verbal, numerical, symbolic, and graphical to communicate mathematical ideas and solve problems.


## Reasoning

Develop and use mathematical arguments and proofs to explore the truth of conjectures and justify conclusions.
4.0 of 9 questions correct


## Connections

Connect ideas from different areas of mathematics (particularly geometry and algebra) to state or solve abstract or applied problems.
2.6 of $\mathbf{7}$ questions correct


## Communication

Express mathematical ideas precisely and communicate them coherently and clearly in the language and notation of mathematics.
2.4 of 5 questions correct


## Mathematics Question Analysis

This section shows the distribution of correct and incorrect answers for your students, state and nation. Take the next step and develop instructional remediation plans by reviewing questions and explanations at scores.collegeboard.org


## Mathematics Question Analysis



## Mathematics Comparable Group Analysis

## What is a comparable group?

A comparable group is a statistically generated group that allows you to identify questions where your students' performance diverges from what might be expected based on their overall performance. It is a sample of students whose overall performance was similar to the performance of your student population. This group is compiled based on performance only, and does not account for attributes like student demographics, geographic region or school size.

## How is this information useful?

Focusing on questions where your students' performance is significantly lower than the comparable group performance can reveal opportunities where you might realistically expect to improve student learning. Similarly, when your students' performance is significantly higher than the comparable group performance, you can identify strengths in your curriculum and instruction, and work to ensure that those strengths are recognized and maintained.


```
Your students performed significantly worse on
questions that fall in the dark blue column to the left,
and significantly better on questions that fall
in the light blue column to the right.
```


## Writing Skills Skill Analysis

The left column indicates how many questions your students answered correctly. The right column shows your students' performance on each question by skill category. Interpret this information with standards alignments and test questions and answer explanations available atscores.collegeboard.org

| Group Difficulty |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| g School Easy (1-3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 State $\quad$ Medium (4-6) |  | Stude | pondin |  |  |  |
| 5 Nation $\square$ Hard (7-9) | Question 0 | 20\% | 40\% | 60\% | 80\% | 100\% |
| Manage Word Choice and Grammatical | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Relationships Between Words | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Understand relationships between and among words including | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |
| subject-verb agreement, pronouns, and verb form and tense. | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9.4 of $\mathbf{1 7}$ questions correct | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ | 21 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 22 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 23 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 26 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 28 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 31 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 32 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 34 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manage Grammatical Structures Used to | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Modify or Compare | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Understand correct use of adjectives, adverbs, comparative structures (neither/nor), and phrases used to modify or compare. | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.3 of $\mathbf{5}$ questions correct | 24 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manage Phrases and Clauses in a sentence | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Use sentence structures (parallelism, connectives \& relative clauses) | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| to indicate relationships between \& among sentence elements. | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.6 of $\mathbf{7}$ questions correct | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recognize Correctly Formed Sentences | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recognize correct sentence structure. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.3 of 5 questions correct | 27 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 33 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 35 |  |  |  |  |  |
| and Paragraphs | 36 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Identify how to order the elements of a sentence or paragraph to | 37 |  |  |  |  |  |
| improve clarity, meaning, and the progression of ideas. | 38 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.8 of 5 questions correct | 39 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $5$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

CollegeBoar

## Writing Skills Question Analysis



PSAT/NIMSQT Summary of Answers and Skills 2013-14

## Writing Skills Question Analysis



## Writing Skills Comparable Group Analysis

## What is a comparable group?

A comparable group is a statistically generated group that allows you to identify questions where your students' performance diverges from what might be expected based on their overall performance. It is a sample of students whose overall performance was similar to the performance of your student population. This group is compiled based on performance only, and does not account for attributes like student demographics, geographic region or school size.

## How is this information useful?

Focusing on questions where your students' performance is significantly lower than the comparable group performance can reveal opportunities where you might realistically expect to improve student learning. Similarly, when your students' performance is significantly higher than the comparable group performance, you can identify strengths in your curriculum and instruction, and work to ensure that those strengths are recognized and maintained.


```
Your students performed significantly worse on
questions that fall in the dark blue column to the left,
and significantly better on questions that fall
in the light blue column to the right.
```

BERMUDIAN SPRINGS SCHOOL DISTRICT
York Springs, Pennsylvania 17372-8807
SAT REVIEW
JUNE, 1992-2014

| CLASS YEAR | MATHEMATICS AVERAGE / \# OF STUDENTS | READING AVERAGE / \# OF STUDENTS | WRITING AVERAGE / \# OF STUDENTS | TOTAL / \# OF STUDENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1992 | 447.3 / 52 | 406.7 / 52 |  | 854.0 / 52 |
| 1993 | 464.3 / 61 | 410.8 / 61 |  | 875.1 / 61 |
| 1994 | 489.1 / 56 | 415.0 / 56 |  | 904.1 / 56 |
| 1995 | 466.7 / 54 | 436.9 / 54 |  | 903.6 / 54 |
| 1996 | 530.0 / 67 | 527.2 / 67 |  | 1057.2 / 67 |
| 1997 | 504.8 / 50 | 518.2 / 50 |  | 1023.0 / 50 |
| 1998 | 527.8 / 47 | 534.0 / 47 |  | 1061.8/47 |
| 1999 | 521.9 / 67 | 521.2 / 67 |  | 1043.1 / 67 |
| 2000 | 522.0 / 59 | 528.3 / 59 |  | 1050.3 / 59 |
| 2001 | 526.1 / 63 | 516.3 / 67 |  | 1042.0 / 67 |
| 2002 | 501.0 / 73 | 513.0 / 73 |  | 1014.0 / 73 |
| 2003 | 505.3 / 59 | 504.9 / 59 |  | 1010.2 / 59 |
| 2004 | 522.9 / 60 | 504.0 / 60 |  | 1026.9 / 60 |
| 2005 | 514.4 / 66 | 517.3 / 66 |  | 1031.7 / 66 |
| 2006 | $517.73 / 75$ | $510.8 / 75$ | 501.69 / 59 | 1530.22 / 75 |
| 2007 | 520.16 / 63 | 506.51 / 63 | 496.83 / 63 | 1523.5 / 63 |
| 2008 | $513.28 / 64$ | 492.5 / 64 | 482.97 / 64 | 1488.75 / 64 |
| 2009 | 486.91 / 94 | 474.0 / 94 | 465.74 / 94 | 1426.65 / 94 |
| 2010 | 518.70 / 77 | 493.64 / 77 | 482.73 / 77 | 1495.07 / 77 |
| 2011 | 505.35 / 58 | 496.90 / 58 | 486.21 / 58 | 1488.46 / 58 |
| 2012 | 475.9/88 | 496.8/88 | 463.1/88 | 1435.8/88 |
| 2013 | 507.7/74 | 478.7/74 | 478.6/74 | 1465.1/74 |
| 2014 | 522/79 | 511/79 | 495/79 | 1528/79 |
| National Scores $2013$ | 514 | 496 | 488 | Total National Scores 1498 |
| PA Scores <br> 2013 | 504 | 494 | 482 | Total PA Scores 1480 |

## BERMUDIAN SPRINGS SCHOOL DISTRICT

York Springs, Pennsylvania 17372-8807

## CLASS OF 2014 SAT SCORES SAT SCORE DISTRIBUTION

| SCORE | MATH | READING | WRITING |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 750-800 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 700-749 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 650-699 | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| 600-649 | 17 | 6 | 5 |
| 550-599 | 10 | 13 | 7 |
| 500-549 | 18 | 18 | 19 |
| 450-499 | 11 | 14 | 19 |
| 400-449 | 11 | 19 | 19 |
| 350-399 | 5 | 3 | 4 |
| 300-349 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| 250-299 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 200-249 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL STUDENTS - 79 |  |  |  |

# BERMUDIAN SPRINGS SCHOOL DISTRICT 

York Springs, Pennsylvania 17372-8807
SAT COMPREHENSIVE MATHEMATICS ANALYSES
(Number of students taking the SAT and the average mathematics score)

| YEAR | $\begin{gathered} \text { FINAL } \\ 2003 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FINAL } \\ 2004 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FINAL } \\ & 2005 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FINAL } \\ & 2006 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FINAL } \\ 2007 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FINAL } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FINAL } \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FINAL } \\ & 2010 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FINAL } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FINAL } \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FINAL } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FINAL } \\ 2014 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 59 | 60 | 66 | 75 | 63 | 64 | 94 | 77 | 58 | 88 | 74 | 79 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 590.6 \\ (16) \end{gathered}$ | 579.5 <br> (19) | $\begin{gathered} 576.5 \\ (23) \end{gathered}$ | 591.1 <br> (26) | 584.2 <br> (19) | $\begin{aligned} & 580 \\ & (13) \end{aligned}$ | 513.3 <br> (6) | $553.3$ <br> (12) | $\begin{gathered} 575.3 \\ (17) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 526.4 \\ (25) \end{gathered}$ | $573.4$ <br> (23) | $\begin{aligned} & 618 \\ & (19) \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Math } \\ & \text { Category } \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $460$ (8) | 471.1 <br> (19) | 460.7 <br> (16) | $\begin{gathered} 482.6 \\ (15) \end{gathered}$ | 490.6 <br> (16) | $\begin{gathered} 480.5 \\ (17) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 475.3 \\ (32) \end{gathered}$ | 471.3 <br> (15) | 461.7 <br> (23) | $\begin{gathered} 552.4 \\ (31) \end{gathered}$ | $484.0$ <br> (20) | $\begin{aligned} & 475 \\ & (24) \end{aligned}$ |
| Category 1 and 2 | $\begin{gathered} 547.1 \\ (24) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 525.3 \\ (38) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 528.9 \\ (39) \end{gathered}$ | 551.4 <br> (41) | $\begin{gathered} 541.4 \\ (35) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 523.6 \\ (30) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 481.3 \\ (38) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 507.7 \\ (27) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 510.0 \\ (40) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 528.8 \\ (51) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 531.8 \\ (43) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 538 \\ & (43) \end{aligned}$ |
| Category 3 and 4 | $\begin{gathered} 387.1 \\ (35) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 518.2 \\ (22) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 493.3 \\ (27) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 477.0 \\ (34) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 493.5 \\ (28) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 484.7 \\ (34) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 420.3 \\ (33) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 484.8 \\ (29) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 486.4 \\ (17) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 465.9 \\ (32) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 474.1 \\ (31) \end{gathered}$ | $360$ <br> (3) |
| Category <br> 5 and 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 591.7 \\ (23) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 579.5 \\ (21) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 640.0 \\ (1) \end{gathered}$ | 0 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 516 \\ & (33) \end{aligned}$ |
| $\underset{\text { All }}{\text { students }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 505.3 \\ (59) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 522.9 \\ (60) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 514.4 \\ (66) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 517.7 \\ (75) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 520.1 \\ (63) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 513.2 \\ (64) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 486.9 \\ (94) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 518.7 \\ (77) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 505.3 \\ (58) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 496.8 \\ (88) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 507.7 \\ (74) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 522 \\ & (79) \end{aligned}$ |

CATEGORY 1 = HIGHEST MATH SEQUENCE OF COURSES AVAILABLE TO STUDENTS (4 YEARS)
CATEGORY 2 = MATH SEQUENCE OF COURSES BEGINNING WITH ALGEBRA 1 (4 YEARS)
CATEGORY 3 = IRREGULAR OR INCOMPLETE MATH SEQUENCE (NO MATH SENIOR YEAR)
CATEGORY 4 = LOWER MATH SEQUENCE
CATEGORY 5 = ALG 1/ALG 2 IN MIDDLE SCHOOL \& AT LEAST 3 YEARS OF MATH
CATEGORY 6 = ALG 1/ALG 2 IN MIDDLE SCHOOL \& AT LEAST 4 YEARS OF MATH
Revised: May 2014

BERMUDIAN SPRINGS SCHOOL DISTRICT
York Springs, Pennsylvania 17372-8807
College In The High School
2006-2007 THROUGH 2013-2014


BERMUDIAN SPRINGS SCHOOL DISTRICT
7335 Carlisle Pike, York Springs PA 17372-8807
DROP OUT PROFILE

| School Year | $\begin{aligned} & 2013- \\ & 2014 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2012- \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \text { - } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010- \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2009 \text { - } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2008- \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2007- \\ 2008 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2006- \\ 2007 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2005- \\ 2006 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2004- \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2003- \\ 2004 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2002- \\ 2003 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2001- \\ & 2002 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2000- \\ & 2001 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1999- \\ & 2000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1998- \\ 1999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TOTAL } \\ \text { all } \\ \text { YEARS } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SEX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 2 | 5 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 13 | 10 | 7 | 13 | 8 | 2 | 7 | 6 | 14 | 9 | 118 |
| Female | 2 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 13 | 77 |
| RACE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Black | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Hispanic | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 17 |
| White | 2 | 7 | 10 | 6 | 7 | 3 | 18 | 14 | 7 | 17 | 12 | 10 | 11 | 9 | 22 | 20 | 174 |
| Asian | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 11 | 24 |
| 17 | 3 | 3 | 10 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 9 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 10 | 6 | 91 |
| 18 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 5 | 8 | 5 | 68 |
| 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 12 |
| 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GRADE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 5 |
| 10 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 35 |
| 11 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 11 | 67 |
| 12 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 15 | 6 | 3 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 9 | 3 | 88 |
| PROGRAM General | 3 | 9 | 9 | 4 | 7 | 3 | 18 | 15 | 7 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 9 | 16 | 17 | 150 |
| Academic | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 |
| Vocational | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 10 |
| Exceptional | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 27 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Behavior | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 8 |
| Disliked School | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 8 | 9 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 4 | 68 |
| Child Care | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 13 |
| Work | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 35 |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 21 |
| Runaway/Expe | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Total Dropouts | 4 | 9 | 11 | 8 | 7 | 5 | 20 | 15 | 9 | 18 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 22 | 22 | 195 |

## TYPE OF COLLEGE ENROLLED IN



## Actual post-secondary GPA attained vs Anticipated GPA



## Curriculum enrolled in @ BSSD



In what way did the advanced level courses help to prepare for college?
Helped to make better use of time
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Helped to be better prepared to complete long range assignments } & 6 \quad \mathbf{7 5 \%}\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Helped adhere to higher expectations } & \mathbf{7} \quad \mathbf{8 8 \%}\end{array}$
Other $450 \%$

People may select more than one checkbox, so percentages may add up to more than $100 \%$

## GRADUATE SURVEY RESPONSES

| TYPE OF COLLEGE | AREA OF STUDY | $\begin{gathered} \text { ACTUAL GPA } \\ \text { ATTAINED VS } \\ \text { ANTICIPATED GPA } \end{gathered}$ | WHAT <br> SUGGESTIONS CAN YOU OFFER THAT MIGHT IMPROVE THE EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM IN BSSD? | CURRICULUM ENROLLED IN @ BSSD? | WERE ANY ADVANCED LEVEL COURSES TAKEN DURING SENIOR YEAR @ BSSD? | IN WHAT WAY DID THE ADVANCED LEVEL COURSES HELP TO PREPARE FOR COLLEGE? | IN WHAT WAY <br> WERE THE CURRICULUM / PROGRAMS IN BSSD HELPFUL? | BIGGEST <br> ADJUSTMENT THAT <br> NEEDED TO BE MADE <br> AS A FRESHMAN? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 year | Criminal justice | Higher than expected | Talk to us about college | Academic |  |  |  | Studying skills |
| 4 year | Wildlife biology | Higher than expected | None | College preparatory | Honors <br> gov't / <br> economic <br> s, CHS <br> calculus, <br> honors <br> English, <br> CHS us <br> history | Helped to be better prepared to complete long range assignments, helped adhere to higher expectations , improved writing skills | Prepared me for more difficult courses | Studying |
| 4 year | General missions intercultural studies | About the same as expected | More in-depth assignments | College preparatory | Honors <br> gov't / <br> economic <br> s, honors <br> English | Helped to make better use of time, helped to be better prepared to complete long range assignments, helped adhere to higher expectations | Helped me to learn what I truly enjoyed learning about | Course changes. Classes I signed up for were too easy. |
| 4 year | Meteorology minorscommunicati ons, risk managemen t , and broadcasting | About the same as expected | Adding more classes- I know this is a hard one to do because of space and because of money. But heading into college I have seen people take a wide range of different classes offered at other school. Another improvement would be creating adv bio, the honors bio I had freshman year was little to no help with me taking bio in college. | College preparatory | Physics, CHS calculus, honors English, CHS us history | Helped adhere to higher expectations -CHS calculus hurt me overall, and would not recommend it to any student in BSHS . <br> College calculus is completely different. Imagine walking into calc 2 and being told no calculators are allowed, ever. That was a real shock because in x's class that was basically how I did most of my calc. | After arriving in college I found out that other schools have many other options, more than we do. | Biggest adjustment is using your time wisely. There is less class and more outside the class work. But other than that it is mostly the same. |


| TYPE OF COLLEGE | AREA OF STUDY | ACTUAL GPA ATTAINED VS ANTICIPATED GPA | WHAT <br> SUGGESTIONS CAN YOU OFFER THAT MIGHT IMPROVE THE EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM IN BSSD? | CURRICULUM ENROLLED IN @ BSSD? | WERE ANY ADVANCED LEVEL COURSES TAKEN DURING SENIOR YEAR @ BSSD? | IN WHAT WAY DID THE ADVANCED LEVEL COURSES HELP TO PREPARE FOR COLLEGE? | IN WHAT WAY WERE THE CURRICULUM / PROGRAMS IN BSSD HELPFUL? | BIGGEST ADJUSTMENT that needed to be MADE AS A FRESHMAN? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 year | Occupational therapy | About the same as expected |  | College preparatory |  |  |  |  |
| 4 year | Physics/envir onmental science | Lower than expected | BSSD gave too much homework so I found myself, and other students, did it just to get it done. But when you have free time in college you need to be able to relax and learn on your own. I totally would have done this more in high school but I did not have the time do the work load. | College preparatory | Physics, honors gov't / economi cs, CHS calculus, honors English, CHS US history | Helped to make better use of time, helped to be better prepared to complete long range assignments, helped adhere to higher expectations | Was presented in ways that I could use when studying my material. | Learning to take time to do more than just what was assigned (didn't have enough time in HS) |
| 4 year | Undeclared | About the same as expected |  | College preparatory |  |  |  |  |
| 4 year | Nursing | Higher than expected |  | College preparatory | Honors <br> gov't / <br> economi <br> cs |  |  |  |
| 4 year | Special education | Higher than expected |  | College preparatory | Honors English | Helped adhere to higher expectations |  |  |
| 2 year | Political science | About the same as expected | Better food/more two hour delays | Academic |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Greenhouse/go } \\ & \text { vernment/econ } \\ & \text { omics } \end{aligned}$ | The long drive to HACC. |
| 4 year | Business administration / marketing | About the same as expected | Improve the level of difficulty and expectations of the honors courses. Also, "college prep" courses don't prepare you for college. | College preparatory | Honors <br> gov't / <br> economi <br> cs, <br> honors <br> English | Helped to make better use of time, helped to be better prepared to complete long range assignments, helped adhere to higher expectations | The only programs in BSSD that were truly helpful to me in preparation for college were honors English 11th and 12th grade and honors government/ec onomics. <br> Especially honors economics/gov ernment. That class is run very similar to an actual college level class and, at the time I hated it, but now I appreciate it more than anything. | Time management and getting used to the fact that your grade is based on about 5 assignments instead of 20 or more. Also, the courses are much more condensed and there is a lot more material learned in a much shorter amount of time. Study habits were hard to learn and adapt to at first. |


| TYPE OF COLLEGE | AREA OF STUDY | $\begin{gathered} \text { ACTUAL GPA } \\ \text { ATTAINED VS } \\ \text { ANTICIPATED GPA } \end{gathered}$ | WHAT <br> SUGGESTIONS CAN YOU OFFER THAT MIGHT IMPROVE THE EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM IN BSSD? | CURRICULUM ENROLLED IN @ BSSD? | WERE ANY ADVANCE D LEVEL COURSES TAKEN DURING SENIOR YEAR @ BSSD? | IN WHAT WAY DID THE ADVANCED LEVEL COURSES HELP TO PREPARE FOR COLLEGE? | IN WHAT WAY <br> WERE THE CURRICULUM / PROGRAMS IN BSSD HELPFUL? | BIGGEST ADJUSTMENT that needed to be MADE AS A FRESHMAN? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 year | Finance | About the same as expected | I think all classes should include more long-range projects and due dates to make students use their time more wisely. | College preparatory | Honors <br> gov't / <br> economics <br> , honors <br> English, <br> CHS us <br> history | Helped to make better use of time \& to be better prepared to complete long range assignments, helped adhere to higher expectations | I think the tougher class curriculums helped me realized what the college classroom would be like. | Making better use of my time, and bettering my study habits. |
| 4 year | Nursing | About the same as expected | My biology and chemistry classes did not prepare me for a medical program. Most other students in bio and chem in college and even teachers considered freshman classes refreshers from high school. Everything was new to me and I struggled whereas my friends had already learned the curriculum in high school. | College preparatory |  |  | Not sure. | Studying. I never studied in high school. However, I did make the dean's list my first semester |
| 4 year | Sport management | Higher than expected | More assignments with presenting in front of the class | College preparatory | CHS calculus, honors English, CHS us history | Helped to make better use of time, helped to be better prepared to complete long range assignments | Challenged me and prepared me for the college workload | Time management and knowing when things were due as professors won't remind you like high school |

BERMUDIAN SPRINGS SCHOOL DISTRICT York Springs, Pennsylvania 17372-8807

POST-GRADUATE PLANS CLASS OF 2014

FOUR-YEAR (State-Related)
Commonwealth UNIVERSITIES

Penn State University - 8
University of Pittsburgh- 4
Temple University - 2

FOUR-YEAR STATE COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES

Clarion University - 1
Indiana University of PA - 2
Kutztown University - 1
Lock Haven University - 2
Millersville University - 1
Shippensburg University - 5
Slippery Rock University - 2
West Chester University - 3
PRIVATE FOUR-YEAR COLLEGES \& UNIVERSITIES (IN-STATE)

Arcadia University - 3
Drexel University - 1
Duquesne University - 1
Elizabethtown College - 1
Gettysburg College - 1
Juniata College -1

Lancaster Bible College -1
Lebanon Valley College -1
Marywood University - 1
Messiah College - 1
Pennsy/vania College of Health Sciences - 2

Philadelphia University - 1
Point Park University - 1
Robert Morris
University - 1
Wilkes University - 1
York College of
Pennsy/vania - 3

STATE/PRIVATE FOUR-
YEAR COLLEGES \& UNIVERSITIES
(OUT-OF-STATE)
Antonelli Institute - 1
Bridgewater College - 1
Brigham Young
University - 1
Clemson University - 1
Full Sail University - 1
High Point University - 1
Liberty University - 1
Mount St. Mary's
University - 2

MMI-1
State University of New York @ Purchase College - 1

Princeton University - 1
Rochester Institute of Technology - 1

Wentworth Institute of Technology - 1

TRADE/TECHNICAL \& BUSINESS SCHOOLS

McCann School of Business \& Technology-2

Pennsylvania College of Technology-1

Universal Technical Institute -3
York Technical Institute - 5
COMMUNITY COLLEGES
Harrisburg Area Community College-27

Other Post -Secondary School (non-degree)

Empire Beauty School-4
Academy of Media and Photography - 1

MILITARY-7
Employment - 15
Undecided - 10

- 4 -Year College/University - 66 (47\%)
- 2-Year College/Trade School/Community College - 48 (31.5\%)
- Military - 7 (4.5\%)
- Employment - 15 (10 \%)
- Undecided - 10 (7\%)


## CLASS OF 2014 SENIOR EXIT INTERVIEW

WHICH CURRICULUM DID YOU FOLLOW?

| College Prep | $\mathbf{5 9}$ | $48 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Academic | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $20 \%$ |
| Honors | $\mathbf{3 8}$ | $31 \%$ |
| Vocational/Business | $\mathbf{1}$ | $1 \%$ |

HOW MANY YEARS HAVE YOU ATTENDED SCHOOL @ BSSD?

| \# Yrs | Total | Percent | \# Yrs | Total | Percent | \# Yrs | Total | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 yrs | 84 | $68 \%$ | 9 yrs | $\mathbf{2}$ | $2 \%$ | 5 yrs | $\mathbf{3}$ | $2 \%$ |
| 12 yrs | $\mathbf{5}$ | $4 \%$ | 8 yrs | $\mathbf{6}$ | $5 \%$ | 4 yrs | $\mathbf{6}$ | $5 \%$ |
| 11 yrs | $\mathbf{1}$ | $1 \%$ | 7 yrs | 4 | $3 \%$ | 3 yrs | $\mathbf{2}$ | $2 \%$ |
| 10 yrs | $\mathbf{2}$ | $2 \%$ | 6 yrs | $\mathbf{4}$ | $3 \%$ | 2 yrs | $\mathbf{2}$ | $2 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 yrs | $\mathbf{2}$ |  |

## 1. WHY DID YOU SELECT THE COURSES YOU TOOK IN HIGH SCHOOL?

| To advance in certain levels in classes |
| :--- |
| I had difficulty learning with my learning disability |
| Because they best prepared me for the work force. |
| Because they were things I wanted to do |
| Because of all the classes the ones I picked sounded fun. |
| I was interested in the teachings of the classes. |
| To decide what I wanted to do when I was older |
| I liked the description and the teachers also I love animals and agriculture |
| Because they fit my abilities to the best that they can be. |
| They seemed like interesting classes and I love music |
| I thought it would benefit by education |
| I selected courses that I was interested in. |
| I didn't want to overwork myself with honors classes. I think the work load would have been too much and <br> college prep was more my speed. <br> I thought the courses were interesting <br> I thought they would be interesting and expand my base of knowledge. All the classes I took were taken to try <br> something new. <br> I plan on going to college. <br> I selected my courses in high school based on my career choice <br> I took mainly CP and some Honors to give myself a challenge but not too much of a workload. <br> To not be bored with slower classes <br> I took them to challenge myself and to make sure I took difficult classes so it looked good on my transcripts. <br> I selected these courses because I feel they would be better for me to have a better learning experience with <br> these classes. <br> I selected them just because I thought they were interesting. I also think they were going to be fun and exciting <br> to learn. |

I planned on going to college
I wanted to take classes that I knew I would be interested in. I also wanted to make sure that I was giving myself enough of a challenge, but not too much because I didn't want to take courses that I could not handle. I chose the courses at the college prep level because I planned on one day attending college and most of the courses I took were closely relevant to what I wanted to do for my future career
I took the courses because I wanted to challenge myself for college. Also, I wanted to takes courses I would enjoy.
to try new things, to try and find what I like or something I'm good at doing
Most because they were required. Others I took because I was interested or thought it was an easy credit. To help me and prepare for college.
I selected the courses because I was trying to take classes that were somewhat relevant for my career choice. I took CCNS for two years because I am going to college to be a teacher, I just took classes that interested me and classes that I need for college.
Because I wanted to be challenged.
I took them because I wanted to be prepared for college
I chose the classes I chose in high school because they would make me look good to colleges. There were not many extra classes that interested me that I could take so I most choose classes that were required.
Because I needed credits.
I selected the courses based on the level of education I would receive and also based on who the teacher was.
Because they were things that I was interested in and that would teach me real world skills.
Because I had to take so many credits so I took the classes that didn't suck as bad as the rest
So I would have enough credits to graduate and some to be challenging.
whenever I first started here at Bermudian Springs School District I didn't know any English (my first language was Spanish) but I didn't know how the system work so the teachers thought that taking academic classes would make my experience here at this school easier.
I looked for classes that would be fun.
I selected the courses I took because I liked those classes, and enjoyed learning at that level.
I chose my courses depending on what I thought would challenge me and on what seemed suitable for me.
I selected them based off things that interested me.
I selected the courses that I did in high school because I wanted to try those classes and I liked them. I wanted to challenge myself at the college prep level.
I chose the course I took because they sounded interesting and I wanted to make my high school years good and I wanted to do stuff that I wanted to do.
cause I had to and personal interests
I chose these classes because English is not my first language and I thought these classes were going to be easy.
I chose academic courses because I am a slow learner. I selected ag courses because I thoroughly enjoy agriculture and the outdoors. And I selected art for three years. Although I have taken all four levels I did not select art for my freshman year, it was automatically scheduled. After that I enjoyed the course and decided to schedule it the other years.
I selected the courses I took because it was the courses that I wanted to learn about. Then some of the courses were just to get credits to graduate.
To prepare myself for the future.
They were easy but in a way I felt they will help me with my future.
I select them because I thought that they were going to be helpful for my future. All the classes I took taught me a great lesson that I know is going to be a good for me.
I selected accounting and business courses, when I failed some of those; I went to agriculture, and technology education.
Because they were suggested to me.
I wanted to challenge myself throughout my high school career. I also thought the lower level selections would be too easy.
My parents made me pick it and advice from them told me to take the courses
I was told as an incoming freshman that college prep courses would be the best choice for me.

> I wanted to be prepared for college and challenge myself in school.

To prepare myself for college
I selected the courses I took in high school because I wished to be challenged, and I wanted to be prepared for my life in the future. Also, I tried to pick courses with which I was interested.

## To prepare me for college

I wanted to challenge myself as much as possible in High School to better prepare me for College and make me a more desirable choice when competing for any future endeavors.
Well my dad made me take the harder classes in order to advance my educational experience.
I selected the courses I did in high school in order to prepare for the career path I want to follow. I plan on majoring in public relations and sports management, so I tried my best (with what was offered) to take classes that put me where I need to be. I wish there would been a Broadcast Journalism offered during my high school experience however because I truly feel it would have been beneficial. I decided to take honors classes to both challenge myself and to prepare myself for college. I decided to take CHS classes this year in order to be a step ahead of some of my college peers. I feel it is something that can set me apart.
I chose the courses I did in order to prepare myself for a well-respected college or university. I knew I could handle the challenge, and I wanted all the experience I could get at Bermudian. I wanted to be with a group of students that wanted to learn.
I took classes that interested me and ones I thought would best prepare me for college.
parents made me
I chose these courses because I knew that it was important to gear myself toward a math and science based future. I filled my schedule each year in an attempt to remain academically rigorous, and focused mainly on filling my senior year with helpful courses that may relate to my major.
I wanted to do well in life and challenge myself.
To get me prepared for college

## Personal interest, friends influence

I was interested in them for a possible career field
I chose the wide variety of courses due to the fact that, in the military, I will obtain college degrees for next to nothing. With my wide, generally based knowledge, I will have a good foundation to achieve many college level degrees so that, no matter what happens after I retire from the Armed Forces, I should be able to find a job.
I chose those classes because they were what I was interested in.
I wanted to prepare myself for college.
I wanted to challenge myself.
I selected the courses so that the courses would count towards college
They were courses that I was interested in and thought could help me out in the future.
The classes were able to challenge me and the workloads were able to help me manage my time more wisely. I wanted to approach the classes my freshman year hesitantly, only because I wasn't sure what to expect in the high school. As I continued through school, I liked the level of difficulty the college prep classes were, so I kept those.
Some of the courses were because I was interested in them, and others I were forced to take because it was required.
I took many honors, chs, and advanced courses to challenge myself and hopefully help me get accepted into a college of my choice.
I selected my courses because I truly enjoyed the subject and was fairly good at it.
By selecting the courses that I took, It will help me further my education in my specific future classes.
To challenge myself and my abilities in my education
I like to be challenged. I thought the courses would be the best to prepare me for college.
I wanted to challenge myself because I knew I was going to college and I wanted to be prepared. I mean my sisters always took honors too so I had to keep the legacy.
They helped the GPA the most
I wanted to challenge myself and prepare myself for college. Also, I wanted to be surrounded by classmates who cared.
I wanted to challenge myself and advanced classes look better for college.

| I wanted to take courses that looked good on a college application. Also, when I tried to take a college prep class, the curriculum went slower than I needed it to. My parents were also proud of me for taking what they considered to be harder level classes. |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | The courses I took in high school were decided on what I thought I would enjoy. I figured that if I did not have fun in my classes, I would not do well. |
| To prepare me for college and the career field I would like to enter into. |  |
|  | I choose challenging classes to impress future colleges that I would be applying to. I also selected classes based on my interests like band, Spanish, and science oriented classes. I also chose to take several CHS classes to get college credits out of the way in high school to make it easier on myself in college. Many classes however were required so there was actually little room for personal selection. |
| I wanted a challenging curriculum that would look good for colleges |  |
| I chose the courses that I took in high school because I had to; it was required. Select courses were chosen because I had an interest in the course description or because they were mandatory for my major in college. |  |
| I chose the courses I did because I wanted to challenge myself in some ways than others. Some were required while some may be mandatory for college or I had a general interest in the subject. |  |
| Because I received good grades up to that point, and I felt that in the higher level courses I would be challenged more. And I would prefer to be at a level where I am being challenged and learning more, rather than in a course that I would just get good grades in because it was relatively easy for me. |  |
| I wanted to be prepared for college |  |
| Because I felt like they were the right classes for me. I wanted to challenge myself with honors but I would not have been able to handle it with work. |  |
| I wanted to do the things that I enjoy in life: music and art. I decided to challenge myself and took honors classes, but this year I decided to try and take it easy. |  |
| I took classes that would challenge me, but wouldn't push me to the point that I couldn't keep up with the work. |  |
| I wanted to take the best classes that I felt would prepare me for my college career |  |
| Because they would look good on college applications. |  |
| To broaden my knowledge in the field I want to study for college. |  |
| They were the ones I was interested in. |  |
| They either interested me or would help me when I got to college |  |
| I selected a variety of different courses just to get an idea of what I wanted to do after high school. |  |
| Because of the education I was going for after school, it made sense to me because of what I want to do after school. |  |
| Because they interested me the most. |  |
| I felt like if I would have taken academic classes I would not be challenged but I did not want to fall behind taking all honors classes. |  |
| to make my way through high school and set up my future |  |
| They interested me. |  |
|  | to better myself for my future |

DO YOU FEEL YOU HAD A GOOD EDUCATIONAL EXPERIENCE IN HIGH SCHOOL?


## 1. BRIEFLY COMMENT ON YOUR EDUCATIONAL EXPERIENCE

## Some of the teachers were not fair in grading based on if you are or are not in FFA.

It was fun
it was ok there's a lot of drama
Friendly teachers, good learning, and fun learning experiences.


It was a fun four years that has had a huge impact on my development as a person. Socially it helped me to grow friendships with others and learn how to avoid conflict. I would say academically they were a good four years but perhaps somewhat below what I needed. No quarrel with my school but rather the educational system at large held me back from my potential.
Well some teachers were very nice and others were absolutely downright terrible. The good teachers' classes even if it wasn't a strong subject for me I enjoyed the class. The terrible teachers made life completely miserable in class. Government was one class of a miserable class. Let me clarify, government pretty much ruined high school for me.
I believe that for the most part my educational experience was well-rounded. However, I wish there were more course offerings that would have helped me prepare for my major. I realize, however, that because this is a small school, most times it wasn't possible to offer more classes.
I believe that many classes earlier in my education were not challenging enough. I was bored with the material and did not do as well as I wanted. I also think that in high school, teachers cared immensely about our success. Although in high school, especially senior year, I was extremely overwhelmed with all that was asked of me. It pushed me to get the best grades l've ever gotten in the 13 years I've been here.
I hated government, worst class ever, should be cut from the curriculum or actually to fire that teacher, there is no reason we should get a 130 question packet and it only be worth 30 points, for every one wrong you get-1 and that is completely ridiculous, and also a C is not average for an honors student so there is no reason I should get a C when I do A work
As I grew up in High School, I was faced with many challenges. Trying to balance school work with outside work was a challenge, but I managed and was able to gain skills such as time management and proper work/ study habits.
Well I really liked the teachers overall they were really good. Some classes were really super good and some were just average. However one class really killed my high school career. Government.
Her grading system seems way out of date and very biased. She thought she was the best thing ever, but really she only deserves a C because a c is average and well maybe even a C is being a little nice. Really I tried hard and still almost failed. That is less then acceptable for me I feel.
Meh' would be an appropriate word, primarily because while I guess it does provide the most base of the basics, it does very little to provide much of anything else of substantial benefit or development.
It was a great 13 years.
It was good, except for "XXXX"'s class. She is rude and assigns an excessive amount of homework and projects. I took CP English, not " XXXX ".
It was not easy, but I was happy with my results.
Some of the courses were very hard
I loved coming to school. I felt I had a nice balance of friends, academics, and extracurricular activities, so that probably helped. I was always encouraged by teachers to try my hardest and be my best.

LIST YOUR FAVORITE SUBJECT IN HIGH SCHOOL

| Advanced Biology -1 | Construction Technology -1 | Intro to World Music - 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Advanced Chemistry -2 | Culinary -1 | Math -9 |
| Ag Business -7 | Earth and Space -1 | Physics -3 |
| Algebra -2 | English -4 | Pre-Calculus -1 |
| Anatomy -2 | Fashion design -1 | Psychology -2 |
| Animal Science -1 | French -1 | Science -5 |
| Art -10 | Geometry -4 | Sociology -5 |
| Band -2 | Gov/Econ -3 | Sound Engineering -3 |
| CAD -1 | Graphics -3 | Spanish -1 |
| Calculus -3 | Greenhouse -2 | Speech -1 |
| CCNS -2 | Gym -2 | Steel Band -3 |
| Chemistry -3 | Wistory -22 | World Music -1 |
| Chorus -1 |  |  |

## 1. WHY WAS THE SUBJECT YOU LISTED YOUR FAVORITE?

```
I have loved it since 10th grade.
the teacher was fun to work with
Because it had an interesting course.
because my English teacher was my favorite
I get to use some of the creativity that I have to make fun foods.
```



I enjoy doing Math.
very relaxed, lenient, and fun
I like to learn about animals
Ag Business was my favorite class because I excel in agriculture and enjoy " XXXX "'s teaching skills.
I enjoy learning about new technologies and innovations.
It taught me a lot about planting seeds and maintaining a garden
I was interested in the subject
it was my favorite because in there I had good teachers that help me
Construction Tech. interested me; I did well, and was engaged in the class.
It gave me a break from my actual classes, and it was fun.
I have a strong liking for any math course, and this was one of the only courses that did not really have a background in so it made it challenging.
We did a lot of fun stuff on the computer and were able to learn about screen printing, I had it when Mr. Lehr taught the class
I want to be a preschool/elementary teacher after college and that subject prepared me the most.
I loved to learn about the past events in our country and other countries. They remind me of stories and I love reading too. I also have decided to continue my education in history, since it interests me the most.
"XXXX"was a great teacher who got to know each student personally. I was challenged in all four years in taking art. From the class I have become not only a better artist better a person. "XXXX" makes every student feel special talented. In addition, unlike in other classes, when I finished work early I was not given filler assignments but I instead created meaningful projects.
This subject was my favorite because not only did it challenge me, but it retained my interest throughout the entire year.
I want to continue a career in this field.
I like to sing and Chorus accommodated this well.
I really enjoyed the teachers and I really enjoyed the layout of the class and working with different styles of graphic design.
I enjoyed learning about sociology because it is interesting to understand how people interact and behave in a social situation. Also, "XXXX"was the best teacher I had during my high school years. He truly cares about his students, and works hard to provide a class that is both interesting and beneficial.
CHS Calculus has been by far the MOST challenging class I have ever taken. It may be the most challenging course offered here at Bermudian. I loved how enthusiastic my class, and " $X X X X$ " for that matter, is about the subject we are studying together. Everyone is there to learn.
I have always been really good at math and it interests me to see how all of the different concepts relate to each other within the subject. It is very satisfying to me to be able to solve mathematical problems and formulate algorithms to find the definite solution to problem.
The teacher was patient and allowed us to learn a lot of things that relate to the real world. Allowed us to learn the material not just memorize it
This decision was tough mostly because I have enjoyed nearly every math and science course that I took. My final decision was between Calculus and Physics. These two courses were different from the rest and taught me information that wasn't similar to my other classes. I feel that Calculus tested my abilities and prepared me for my future.
Well they weren't government and I really didn't like government at all.
I don't know, I just found the subject enjoyable overall, I do not have any real substantial reasoning here.
"XXXXX" was a fun teacher and I enjoyed learning Spanish
I enjoyed all of the teachers I had for each of my history classes.
English, reading books that were unnecessary with no real educational point to them
Ag. Business was my favorite subject due to the fact that it allowed me to learn not only how to properly start my own business, it also gave me technical skills, such as welding, wiring, and carpentry, that I could use later in my life to cut my costs to live, even having the skills to possibly build my own house.
Art was my favorite because it was the only class that allowed me to work at my own pace and Art is something you have to learn from doing hands on versus sitting in class and studying information.
I really enjoy " $\mathrm{XXXXX} \mathrm{"} \mathrm{as} \mathrm{a} \mathrm{teacher} \mathrm{and} \mathrm{I} \mathrm{thought} \mathrm{HE} \mathrm{did} \mathrm{a} \mathrm{great} \mathrm{job} \mathrm{with} \mathrm{making} \mathrm{the} \mathrm{class} \mathrm{interesting} .\mathrm{t} \mathrm{}. \mathrm{{ }}^{\text {I }}$.
I enjoyed the way the world works.
It taught me not only how to manage things in my life but, the class was very hands on and it helped me with things that I like to do.
Sociology is based on real life experiences that occur in everyday life.
Earth and Space science was my favorite even though I only had it for one year. Being able to perform
activities in the planetarium really helped me to get a better understanding of our earth and solar system. The experiments we did in that class also helped me to identify certain things about our earth and how old it is. I really enjoyed being able to engage in certain activities because it helped me learn and comprehend more than I have in any other class.
I really enjoy learning things about the past, and it seemed that all of the history teachers had this thing where they were just really passionate about what they do and teach. Two of them inspired me to continue my education to become a history teacher, and I can't thank them enough for that.
I'm a really creative person, and I felt at home in art. I could express myself through my work without any judgment. Also, Mrs. Robinson was my favorite teacher because she wasn't all about sports, like every other teacher, she actually cared about how her students were doing.
Art was my stress reliever, and it was something that stayed consistent in my life. I had the same teacher for four years, and even though it continued to get harder, I became a better artist. I also feel that "XXXX" knows me the best besides my homeroom teacher. Just having the same type of course kept me focused through the years.
I love art class because my teacher is a very caring and sweet individual who genuinely cares for her students and encourages you to go further and succeed without pressuring and rushing you.
Art was my favorite subject throughout high school for many reasons. In art I was able to excel at my own speed and I was also able to express my thoughts through a visual way.
I enjoy literature and "XXXX" is a good teacher
I loved math and the challenges that come along with it
I love learning more about the human body. It is very fascinating. Anatomy will be my main class throughout my college career.
Advanced Chemistry is the most challenging class I have taken and "XXXX" is such an awesome teacher who cares about his students succeeding.
I really like history and feel like I have had some good teachers in the history department.
I enjoyed the science combined with the math. The course was very fair. We did many labs. The information is very relevant.
"XXXX" made class fun and enjoyable. I learned a lot of helpful information in this class that will help me in college.
I really enjoyed "XXXX" as a teacher. Also, it was my best class I did very well in it and I felt that the subject matter was extremely interesting. If I were to go into teaching anything, it would be teaching Geometry like "XXXX"
This subject interested me and kept me interested. I have always wondered about our past and our way of life, so this was the subject for me.
I have an interest in biology and found the course material intriguing.
All of my social studies and history classes have been very interesting. I really feel like I have learned a lot of practical knowledge in these classes. In my social studies classes I have learned life lessons and have formed opinions about the world that I will hold for the rest of my life. In math and science classes, I did not really grow as a person and did not learn as much practical knowledge that I will be able to use outside the classroom. In addition, social studies has always been fairly easy as compared to other classes and the teachers were always enthusiastic about teaching.
"XXXX" is an awesome teacher, and he covered so many lessons that will help me in college.
I could not narrow down which science I liked. However, I loved the Chemistry 1 course and the curriculum taught in Biology.
It's difficult to choose just one subject in the science field, I very much enjoyed my biology course as well as my two chemistry courses. However, I feel that Earth \& Space was not beneficial in any way.
I love science. I find every aspect of it intriguing, everything from studying body functions to projectile motion.
I really enjoyed using Photoshop and all our fun projects
I love math.
I love "XXXX"! He made coming to school a lot of fun, and he made me want to become an Anthropologist.
It was one of the classes that l've had more freedom and it was a stress relief type of class with minimal homework.
I really enjoyed the math process and how the work is supposed to be solved
It was my favorite because I did well in it and I understood it.
It is the class that is the closest to what I want to study, and music is my favorite thing in the world.
I love music, and I got to play drum set.
It was interesting and the class was never boring. " XXXXX " is a very good teacher and I could understand her teaching very well.

| It interests me. |
| :--- |
| It interested me because we never learned the ins and outs of our economy and government. It was also my <br> favorite because of the teacher I had and the care she gave to us throughout our year. <br> Because I like world history and ancient history related classes the most, also the teacher was awesome. <br> "XXXX" actually cares about her students and tries her hardest to keep all students successful. <br> I enjoy history <br> I liked most of the teachers, and I think it is very interesting. <br> I enjoy learning about events that happened in history $\mathbf{l}$ |

DID YOU PARTICIPATE IN EXTRACURRICULAR ACTIVITIES DURING HIGH SCHOOL?


DO YOU FEEL YOU HAVE HAD AN ADEQUATE OPPORTUNITY TO PARTICIPATE IN EXTRACURRICULAR ACTIVITIES SUCH AS ATHLETICS, CLUBS, AND MUSICALS?


1. DO YOU FEEL YOU HAVE HAD AN ADEQUATE OPPORTUNITY TO PARTICIPATE IN
EXTRACCURICULAR ACTIVITIES SUCH AS ATHLETICS, CLUBS, AND MUSICALS?

## Brief comment:

they give us a lot of opportunities for clubs, sports, and band
I did FFA but for only 3 years, but it got to the point that I was not having fun because the teacher was not very nice to me and other students and would grade in favor of the students that were in FFA.
It was easy
I choose not to do after school activities
No need to change it.
Student trainer from 7th grade to 9th grade.
Everyone is welcoming
there are many clubs and athletics to participate in
There were only a few activities and clubs only happened once in a trillion years (it seemed)
yes, having clubs every Wednesday helps, but it's hard for the people in Adams County Tech Prep because we aren't there for clubs
Bermudian is expecting with all people to do extracurricular activities
I was able to join the National Art Honors Society which gave me a better application for college. I also played
four years on the varsity softball team. I was treated fairly and got adequate playing time.
Everyone can join any sport or club if they want to. and people encourage other people to join
I get busy and stuff


I only ever participated in the musical as stage crew during my Senior Year, but it was one of the most enjoyable experiences I have ever had. I made many new friends, and we all came together to make a great performance.

I LIKE MY SCHOOL.


| Strongly Agree | $\mathbf{3 4}$ | $28 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Agree | $\mathbf{7 6}$ | $62 \%$ |
| Disagree | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $9 \%$ |
| Strongly Disagree | $\mathbf{2}$ | $2 \%$ |

MY SCHOOL PLACES ENOUGH EMPHASIS ON SUCH TRADITIONAL ACADEMIC SUBJECTS AS ENGLISH, HISTORY, MATH, AND SCIENCE.


MY SCHOOL PLACES ENOUGH EMPHASIS ON TRAINING IN CHARACTER AND CITIZENSHIP.


STUDENTS IN MY SCHOOL ARE REQUIRED TO WORK HARD IN THEIR CLASSES.


| Strongly Agree | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $16 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Agree | $\mathbf{7 1}$ | $58 \%$ |
| Disagree | $\mathbf{2 9}$ | $24 \%$ |
| Strongly Disagree | $\mathbf{3}$ | $2 \%$ |

TEACHERS IN MY SCHOOL ASSIGN HOMEWORK AT AN APPROPRIATE LEVEL.


| Strongly Agree | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $10 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Agree | $\mathbf{7 1}$ | $58 \%$ |
| Disagree | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $24 \%$ |
| Strongly Disagree | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $8 \%$ |

MY SCHOOL PROVIDES ENOUGH HELP TO STUDENTS WITH PROBLEMS.


Strongly Agree
Agree
Disagree
Strongly Disagree

MY SCHOOL PROVIDES ENOUGH HELP TO STUDENTS WITH ACADEMIC PROBLEMS.

Strongly Agree

## Agree

Disagree
Strongly Disagree

TEACHERS PROVIDE ADEQUATE MOTIVATION FOR ALL STUDENTS.


| Strongly Agree | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $14 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Agree | $\mathbf{6 7}$ | $54 \%$ |
| Disagree | $\mathbf{3 6}$ | $29 \%$ |
| Strongly Disagree | $\mathbf{3}$ | $2 \%$ |

MY SCHOOL HAS GOOD SCHOOL SPIRIT.


| Strongly Agree | $\mathbf{3 4}$ | $28 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Agree | $\mathbf{6 8}$ | $55 \%$ |
| Disagree | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $14 \%$ |
| Strongly Disagree | $\mathbf{4}$ | $3 \%$ |

STUDENTS IN MY SCHOOL ARE WELL-BEHAVED AND RESPECTFUL OF TEACHERS AND ADMINISTRATORS.


| Strongly Agree | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $10 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agree | $\mathbf{7 2}$ | $59 \%$ |
| Disagree | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $20 \%$ |
| Strongly Disagree | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $11 \%$ |

MY SCHOOL PROVIDES A WELL-ROUNDED PROGRAM OF EXTRACURRICULAR ACTIVITES.


| Strongly Agree | $\mathbf{4 5}$ | $37 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Agree | $\mathbf{6 4}$ | $52 \%$ |
| Disagree | $\mathbf{9}$ | $7 \%$ |
| Strongly Disagree | $\mathbf{5}$ | $4 \%$ |

I LIKE HAVING THE CHOICES OF COURSE DIFFICULTY LEVELS.


| Strongly Agree | $\mathbf{7 6}$ | $62 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agree | $\mathbf{4 6}$ | $37 \%$ |
| Disagree | $\mathbf{0}$ | $0 \%$ |
| Strongly Disagree | $\mathbf{1}$ | $1 \%$ |

WHAT GRADE WOULD YOU ASSIGN TO YOUR SCHOOL?

| $A$ | 30 | $24 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $B$ | 66 | $54 \%$ |
| C | 20 | $16 \%$ |
| $D$ | 6 | $5 \%$ |
| $F$ | 1 | $1 \%$ |


| Grade | STAR Math | STAR Reading |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5th | 87 | 77 |
| 6th | 73 | 68 |
| 7th | 76 | 70 |
| 8th | 78 | 70 |



## Accelerated Reader Quizzes

| Grade | \# Taken | \# Passed* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5th | 2,645 | 2,230 |
| 6th | 1,435 | 1,174 |
| 7th | 911 | 773 |
| 8th | 851 | 717 |
| Totals | 5,842 | 4,894 |

*Students must demonstrate 80\% Proficiency to receive credit


|  | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goal | $54.00 \%$ | $63.00 \%$ | $63.00 \%$ | $63.00 \%$ | $72.00 \%$ | $81.00 \%$ | Baseline |
| 5th | $61.25 \%$ | $50.85 \%$ | $59.88 \%$ | $60.69 \%$ | $61.00 \%$ | $58.20 \%$ | $62.40 \%$ |
| 6th | $64.71 \%$ | $72.22 \%$ | $64.53 \%$ | $68.45 \%$ | $70.00 \%$ | $70.60 \%$ | $71.30 \%$ |
| 7th | $66.67 \%$ | $73.72 \%$ | $76.47 \%$ | $66.67 \%$ | $74.00 \%$ | $79.70 \%$ | $77.60 \%$ |
| 8th | $73.55 \%$ | $80.75 \%$ | $80.13 \%$ | $79.75 \%$ | $79.00 \%$ | $81.40 \%$ | $76.60 \%$ |

Reading PSSA


|  | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goal | $45.00 \%$ | $56.00 \%$ | $56.00 \%$ | $56.00 \%$ | $67.00 \%$ | $78.00 \%$ | Baseline |
| 5th | $75.00 \%$ | $67.23 \%$ | $70.35 \%$ | $72.03 \%$ | $74.00 \%$ | $59.40 \%$ | $69.30 \%$ |
| 6th | $71.90 \%$ | $75.93 \%$ | $77.35 \%$ | $78.44 \%$ | $74.00 \%$ | $78.30 \%$ | $71.50 \%$ |
| 7th | $64.50 \%$ | $74.36 \%$ | $75.82 \%$ | $75.60 \%$ | $76.00 \%$ | $82.00 \%$ | $80.30 \%$ |
| 8th | $72.26 \%$ | $68.32 \%$ | $73.08 \%$ | $84.08 \%$ | $78.00 \%$ | $78.50 \%$ | $78.60 \%$ |



BERMUDIAN SPRINGS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL FOUNTAS \& PINNELL SCORES 2013-2014


| K | BB <br> $(A A)$ <br> $(A)$ | Basic <br> $(A)$ <br> $(B)$ | \% <br> Below | \# of <br> Student <br> s | Prof <br> $(B-E)$ <br> $(C-J)$ | Adv <br> $(F+)$ <br> $(K+)$ | \% On <br> or <br> Above | \# of <br> Students |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Winter | $11 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $22 \%$ | 31 | $75 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $78 \%$ | 112 |
| Spring | $11 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $21 \%$ | 29 | $77 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $80 \%$ | 111 |



| 1st | BB <br> $(A-B)$ <br> $(A-H)$ | Basic <br> (C) <br> $(\mathrm{I})$ | \% <br> Below | \# of <br> Student <br> s | Prof <br> $(\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{J})$ <br> $(\mathrm{J}-\mathrm{L})$ | Adv <br> $(\mathrm{K}+)$ <br> $(M+)$ | \% On <br> or Above | \# of <br> Students |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fall | $47 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $63 \%$ | 86 | $37 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $37 \%$ | 50 |
| Spring | $14 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $40 \%$ | 55 | $58 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $60 \%$ | 81 |



| 2nd | BB <br> $\left(\begin{array}{c}\text { A-H }\end{array}\right.$ <br> $(A-K)$ | Basic <br> (I) <br> (L) | \% <br> Below | \# of <br> Student <br> $\mathbf{s}$ | Prof <br> $(\mathrm{J}-\mathrm{M})$ <br> $(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{O})$ | Adv <br> $(\mathrm{N}+)$ <br> $(\mathrm{P}+)$ | \% On <br> or <br> Above | \# of <br> Students |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fall | $28 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $48 \%$ | 75 | $45 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $52 \%$ | 81 |
| Spring | $17 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $32 \%$ | 51 | $48 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $68 \%$ | 110 |



| 3rd | BB <br> $(A-K)$ <br> $(A-N)$ | Basic <br> $(\mathrm{L})$ <br> $(\mathrm{O})$ | \% <br> Below | \# of <br> Students | Prof <br> $(M-\mathrm{O})$ <br> $(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R})$ | Adv <br> $(\mathrm{P}+)$ <br> $(\mathrm{S}+)$ | \% On <br> or <br> Above | \# of <br> Students |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fall | $19 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $36 \%$ | 47 | $47 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $64 \%$ | 86 |
| Spring | $16 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $35 \%$ | 49 | $44 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $65 \%$ | 91 |



| 4th | BB <br> (A-N) <br> (A-Q) | Basic <br> (O) <br> (R) | \% <br> Below | \# of <br> Students | Prof <br> $($ P-R) <br> $(S-U)$ | Adv <br> $(\mathrm{S}+)$ <br> $(\mathrm{V}+)$ | \% On <br> or <br> Above | \# of <br> Students |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fall | $23 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $39 \%$ | 63 | $36 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $61 \%$ | 98 |
| Spring | $22 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $38 \%$ | 63 | $40 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $62 \%$ | 103 |



Fountas and Pinnell Key

| Reading A-Z Level | Ages | Grade | Fountas \& Pinnell |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| aa | 4-6 | K | A |
| A | 4-6 | K | A |
| B | 4-6 | K | B |
| C | 4-6 | K | C |
| D | 4-7 | 1 | D |
| E | 6-7 | 1 | E |
| F | 6-7 | 1 | F |
| G | 6-7 | 1 | G |
| H | 6-7 | 1 | H |
| ! | 6-7 | 1 | I |
| J | 6-8 | 1 | J |
| K | 7-8 | 2 | J |
| $\underline{L}$ | 7-8 | 2 | K |
| M | 7-8 | 2 | L |
| N | 7-8 | 2 | M |
| $\underline{0}$ | 7-8 | 2 | M |
| P | 7-8 | 2 | M |
| Q | 7-9 | 3 | N |
| R | 8-9 | 3 | N |
| S | 8-9 | 3 | 0 |
| I | 8-9 | 3 | P |
| $\underline{\text { U }}$ | 8-11 | 4 | Q |
| V | 9-11 | 4 | Q |
| W | 9-11 | 4 | S |
| $\underline{X}$ | 9-11 | 5 | S |
| $\underline{Y}$ | 9-11 | 5 | T |
| Z | 9-11 | 5 | U-V |

## PENNSYLVANIA SCHOOL PERFORMANCE PROFILE 2012-2013 Brief Overview

The SPP provides a building level academic score for educators as part of the Educator Effectiveness System as required by 24 P.S. § 11-1123 - Act 82 - 2012. In addition, provides information used in determining federal accountability status for Title I schools as required by the Federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act section 1111(h)(1) and (h)2. The public may be familiar with No Child Left Behind and the school designations related to performance: e.g., Made AYP, Making Progress, Warning, School Improvement, Corrective Action. Pennsylvania, by virtue of a waiver approved by the US Department of Education, has developed a new accountability system, which includes the School Performance Profile, to replace the No Child Left Behind system for state accountability.

The elements in the SPP are reflective of research and best practice - as well as comments and feedback from the field via the many focus groups held across the state.

The score for a school is based upon indicators that define a high performing school. Many data elements come together to create the academic score. These elements are categorized into five areas.

The first three areas represent 50 percent of the school academic performance score:

- Indicators of Academic Achievement - Include PSSA/Keystone performance, industry standards-based competency assessments, grade three reading proficiency, and SAT/ACT college ready benchmarks.
- Indicators of Closing the Achievement Gap - All student scores are used to define how well a school is making progress toward proficiency of all students. (Baseline 2013)
- Indicators of Closing the Achievement Gap - Historically Underperforming Students' scores are used to define how well a school is making progress toward proficiency. The high needs students are students who have historically not demonstrated proficiency. (Baseline 2013)

This category represents 40 percent of the school academic performance score:

- Indicators of Academic Growth/PVAAS - Measures the school's impact on the academic progress of groups of students from year-to-year.

This category represents 10 percent of the school academic performance score:

- Other Academic Indicators - Assesses factors that contribute to student achievement (e.g., graduation rate, promotion rate, attendance rate).

Schools may earn up to 7 additional points via Extra Credit for Advanced Achievement based upon advanced performance on state and industry assessments, as well as for students earning a 3 or higher on an Advanced Placement exam.

Note: Data are displayed for each element if there are 11 or more students represented. This includes all the performance measures used to determine the academic performance score.

The full School Performance Profile can be accessed: http://paschoolperformance.org/

## 2012-2013 SCHOOL PERFORMANCE PROFILE

## Building Level Scores - All Students

| Indicators of Academic Achievement | BSES | BSMS | BSHS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mathematics/Algebra I Percent Proficient or Advanced on PSSA/Keystone | 81.17 | 73.62 | 70.42 |
| Reading/Literature - Percent Proficient or Advanced on PSSA/Keystone | 73.38 | 70.94 | 83.8 |
| Science/Biology - Percent Proficient or Advanced on PSSA/Keystone | 79.86 | 64.43 | 45.77 |
| Writing - Percent Proficient or Advanced on PSSA | NA | 67.47 | NA |
| Industry Standards-Based Competency Assessments - Percent Competent or Advanced | NA | NA | 94.44 |
| Grade 3 Reading - Percent Proficient or Advanced on PSSA | 78.48 | NA | NA |
| SAT/ACT College Ready Benchmark | NA | NA | 55.92 |
| Indicators of Academic Growth/PVAAS | BSES | BSMS | BSHS |
| Mathematics/Algebra I Meeting Annual Academic Growth Expectations | 81 | 100 | 100 |
| Reading/Literature - Meeting Annual Academic Growth Expectations | 76 | 100 | 100 |
| Science/Biology - Meeting Annual Academic Growth Expectations | 66 | 100 | 92 |
| Writing - Meeting Annual Academic Growth Expectations | NA | 71 | NA |
| Other Academic Indicators | BSES | BSMS | BSHS |
| Cohort Graduation Rate | NA | NA | 90.48 |
| Promotion Rate ** ** Promotion Rate will be included in 2013-2014 | NA | NA | NA |
| Attendance Rate | 95.59 | 94.84 | 93.28 |
| Advanced Placement, International Baccalaureate Diploma, or College Credit | NA | NA | 100 |
| PSAT/Plan Participation | NA | NA | 52.64 |
| Extra Credit for Advanced Achievement | BSES | BSMS | BSHS |
| Percent PSSA/Keystone Advanced - Mathematics/Algebra I | 48.7 | 46.44 | 23.94 |
| Percent PSSA/Keystone Advanced - Reading/Literature | 25.32 | 37.99 | 8.45 |
| Percent PSSA/Keystone Advanced - Science/Biology | 40.94 | 30.87 | 3.52 |
| Percent PSSA Advanced - Writing | NA | 5.14 | NA |
| Percent Advanced - Industry Standards-Based Competency Assessments | NA | NA | 94.44 |
| Percent 3 or Higher on an Advanced Placement Exam | NA | NA | NA |
| Percent PSSA Advanced - Writing | 5.14 | 5.14 |  |
| Percent Advanced - Industry Standards-Based Competency Assessments | NA | NA | NA |
| Percent 3 or Higher on an Advanced Placement Exam | NA | NA | NA |
| Building Level Academic Score | 78.9 | 82.9 | 84.2 |

## 2012-2013

## Pennsylvania System of School Assessments (PSSA)

| PSSA <br> Grade | MATH BSSD \% <br> Proficient \& Above | MATH <br> State \% <br>  <br> Above | READING BSSD \% <br>  <br> Above | READING <br> State \% <br>  <br> Above | SCIENCE <br> BSSD \% <br>  <br> Above | SCIENCE <br> State \% Proficient \& Above | WRITING BSSD \% <br>  <br> Above* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 80 | 77 | 76 | 73 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 79 | 77 | 68 | 66 | 79 | 78 |  |
| 5 | 68 | 69 | 62 | 61 |  |  | 67 |
| 6 | 71 | 73 | 70 | 65 |  |  |  |
| 7 | 79 | 76 | 76 | 70 |  |  |  |
| 8 | 77 | 74 | 76 | 77 | 64 | 60 | 68 |
|  | Yellow indicates scores at or above the state level (Scores rounded) |  |  |  |  |  | *State not reported |

*School Performance Profile (SPP) - Baseline data
*2013 is the baseline year for the School Performance Profile (SPP). The SPP score will be based upon multiple measures that will included indicators as outlined in the SPP overview on the previous page.

## 2012-2013

## Keystone Exam Results

The Keystone Exams are end-of-course assessments designed to assess proficiency in the subject areas of Algebra I, Literature, and Biology. The Keystone exams replaced the $11^{\text {th }}$ grade PSSA beginning in 2012. Additionally, beginning with the class of 2017, all students in Pennsylvania will be required to demonstrate proficiency on the Keystone exams as part of the PA state requirements for graduation. Any $7^{\text {th }}$ or $8^{\text {th }}$ grade student enrolled in an Algebra 1B or Biology course took both the grade level PSSA and Keystone exams.

Students will be given several opportunities to demonstrate proficiency on the Keystone Exams. In addition, Bermudian Springs School District provides Keystone Prep courses to any student that scores Basic or Below Basic on a Keystone exam. Beginning with the class of 2017, state developed project based assessments (PBA's) will be required in the event a student has not demonstrated proficiency on the Keystone Exam by the end of their junior year or earlier as outlined in a student's IEP or district guidelines. Keystone Exam proficiency is reported in the eleventh grade and is based upon best scores of the eleventh grade cohort.

| Keystone <br> Exams <br> Grade | Algebra I <br> BSSD \% <br>  <br> Above | Algebra I <br> State \% <br>  <br> Above | Literature <br> BSSD \% <br>  <br> Above | Literature <br> BSSD \% <br>  <br> Above | Biology <br> BSSD \% <br>  <br> Above | Biology <br> State \% <br>  <br> Above |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 11 | 69 | 64 | 84 | 75 | 45 | 45 |
| Yellow indicates scores at or above the state level <br> (Scores rounded) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*School Performance Profile (SPP) - Baseline data
*2013 is the baseline year for the School Performance Profile (SPP). The SPP score will be based upon multiple measures that will included indicators as outlined in the SPP overview on the previous page.

## District Overall Results in IVlathematics

This table captures the participation and performance results of students overall and by disaggregated group who took the PSSA in grades 3-8, and Keystone Exams in grade 11.

| All Student Groups ${ }^{1}$ | Participation ${ }^{3}$ |  |  | Performance ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tested \# | Enrolled Assessed \# \% |  | Percentage of students in each Performance Level |  |  |  | Percentage of students Proficient and above |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 8elow Bask | Pask | Proficient | Advaned | $\bigcirc{ }^{0}$ | ${ }_{4}^{40}$ | ${ }_{6} 6$ | ${ }^{80}$, ${ }^{100}$ |
| All Students | 1,069 | 1,095 | 100\% | 9\% | 15\% | 31\% | 44\% | 75\% | I |  | 69al $73 \%$ |
| Historically Underperforming | 412 | 428 | 99\% | 18\% | 20\% | 31\% | 30\% | 62\% | - | 4 | 153\% |
| IEP | 94 | 96 | 99\% | 41\% | 30\% | 20\% | 9\% | 29\% | - | Soal 37 |  |
| English Language Learner | 30 | 32 | 100\% | 40\% | 40\% | 13\% | 7\% | 20\% | 60 | 29\% |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 359 | 375 | 99\% | 15\% | 19\% | 33\% | 33\% | 65\% | - | - | 50a $58 \%$ |
| Male | 549 | 563 | 100\% | 11\% | 14\% | 29\% | 46\% | 75\% | . |  | 60an $72 \%$ |
| Female | 520 | 532 | 100\% | 8\% | 17\% | 33\% | 43\% | 76\% | , |  | 60a177\% |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native (not Hispanic) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Asian (not Hispanic) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Black or African American (not Hispanic) | - | - | - | - | -. | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Hispanic (any race) | 141 | 149 | 99\% | 14\% | 24\% | 33\% | 28\% | 62\% | - | - | 154\% |
| Multi-Racial (not Hispanic) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| White (not Hispanic) | 914 | 932 | 100\% | 9\% | 13\% | 31\% | 47\% | 78\% |  |  | 6 60a180\% |
| Native Hawaiia//other Pacific Islander (not Hispanic) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Migrant | 11 | 14 | 100\% | 27\% | 18\% | 27\% | 27\% | 55\% | 4 | Soil 78 |  |

## District PSSA Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

| Student Group ${ }^{1}$ | Academic Year | Participation Rate | Percentage of students in each Performance Level |  |  |  | Percentage of students Proficient and above |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 8elow Basic | Basic | Proficiem | Advanced | $\begin{array}{llllll} 0 & 20 & \text { District } & 80 & 60 & 80 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Stat |
| All Students | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2012-2013 } \\ & 2011-2012 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 99 \% \\ 100 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \% \\ 10 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \% \\ & 11 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39 \% \\ & 36 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41 \% \\ & 43 \% \end{aligned}$ | $80 \%$ <br> $79 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & 77 \% \\ & 80 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Historically Underperforming | 2012-2013 | 98\% | 8\% | 22\% | 46\% | 25\% | 71\% | 63\% |
| IEP | 2012-2013 | 93\% | 23\% | 31\% | 38\% | 8\% | 46\% | 48\% |
| English Language Learner | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 2012-2013 | 98\% | 4\% | 25\% | 47\% | 25\% | 72\% | 63\% |
| Male | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 5\% | 12\% | 39\% | 45\% | 84\% | 77\% |
| Female | 2012-2013 | 99\% | 4\% | 20\% | 40\% | 36\% | 76\% | 77\% |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Asian (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Black or Africann American (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Hispanic (any race) | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 13\% | 33\% | 38\% | 17\% | 54\% | 58\% |
| Multi-Racial (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| White (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | 99\% | 3\% | 13\% | 39\% | 45\% | -84\% | 84\% |
| Native Hawaiian/other Pacific Islander (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Migrant | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |

District PSSA Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

| Student Grcup ${ }^{1}$ | Academic Year | Participation Rate | Percentage of students in each Performance Level |  |  |  | Percentage of students Proficient and above |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Below basic | Basic | Proficient | Advanced | 0 20 40 60 80 100 |  |
| All Students | $\begin{aligned} & 2012-2013 \\ & 2011-2012 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & 100 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13 \% \\ 8 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \% \\ 10 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \% \\ & 30 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \% \\ & 52 \% \end{aligned}$ | $79 \%$ <br> $82 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & 77 \% \\ & 82 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Historically Underperforming | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 20\% | 11\% | 29\% | 41\% | 70\% | 63\% |
| IEP | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 42\% | 8\% | 8\% | 42\% | 50\% | 47\% |
| English Language Learner | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 19\% | 10\% | 31\% | 40\% | 71\% | 63\% |
| Male | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 11\% | 6\% | 26\% | 57\% | 83\% | $77 \%$ |
| Female | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 14\% | 11\% | 21\% | 53\% | 74\% | 77\% |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Asian (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Black or African American (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Hispanic (any race) | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 15\% | 5\% | 30\% | 50\% | 80\% | 58\% |
| Multi-Racial (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| White (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 12\% | 9\% | 23\% | 56\% | 79\% | 85\% |
| Native Hawaiian/other Pacific Islander (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Migrant | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |

## District PSSA Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

| Student Group ${ }^{1}$ | Academic Year | Participation Rate | Percentage of students in each Performance Level |  |  |  | Percentage of students Proficient and above |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Below Basik | 8asic | Profidient | Advanced | 0 20 40 60 80 100 |  |
| OG All Students | $\begin{aligned} & 2012-2013 \\ & 2011-2012 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 99 \% \\ 100 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \% \\ & 18 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \% \\ & 23 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29 \% \\ & 26 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38 \% \\ & 33 \% \end{aligned}$ | $68 \%$ <br> $59 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & 69 \% \\ & 73 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Historically Underperforming | 2012-2013 | 98\% | 28\% | 22\% | 20\% | 31\% | 51\% | 52\% |
| IEP | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 73\% | 20\% | 0\% | 7\% | $=7 \%$ | 33\% |
| English Language Learner | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 2012-2013 | 98\% | 21\% | 23\% | 23\% | 33\% | 56\% | 52\% |
| Male | 2012-2013 | 99\% | 19\% | 19\% | 27\% | 36\% | 63\% | 68\% |
| Female | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 9\% | 19\% | 32\% | 41\% | 72\% | 69\% |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Asian (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Black or African American (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Hispanic (any race) | 2012-2013 | 96\% | 23\% | 27\% | 23\% | 27\% | 50\% | 49\% |
| Multi-Racial (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| White (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 11\% | 15\% | 31\% | 42\% | 73\% | 77\% |
| Native Hawaiian/other Pacific Islander (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Migrant | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | -- | - |  | - |

## District PSSA Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

| Student: Giroup ${ }^{1}$ | Academic Year | Participation Rate | Percentage of students in each Performance Level |  |  |  | Percentage of students Proficient and above |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Below basic | 8asic | Proficient | Advanced | 0 20 40 60 80 100 |  |
| All Students | $\begin{aligned} & 2012-2013 \\ & 2011-2012 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & 100 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \% \\ & 10 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \% \\ & 16 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28 \% \\ & 25 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43 \% \\ & 49 \% \end{aligned}$ | $71 \%$ <br> $-75 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & 73 \% \\ & 77 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Historically Underperforming | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 23\% | 20\% | 23\% | 33\% | 57\% | 57\% |
| IEP | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 59\% | 29\% | 12\% | 0\% | 二 $12 \%$ | 34\% |
| English Language Learner | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 17\% | 20\% | 24\% | 39\% | 63\% | 58\% |
| Male | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 14\% | 16\% | 20\% | 49\% | 70\% | 72\% |
| Female | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 13\% | 15\% | 35\% | 37\% | 72\% | 75\% |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Asian (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Black or African American (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Hispanic (any race) | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 9\% | 22\% | 35\% | 35\% | 70\% | 53\% |
| Multi-Racial (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| White (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 15\% | 14\% | 26\% | 45\% | 72\% | 81\% |
| Native Hawaiian/other Paciic Islander (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Migrant | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |

District PSSA Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

| Student Group ${ }^{1}$ | Academic Year | Participation Rate | Percentage of students in each Performance Level |  |  |  | Percentage of students Proficient and above |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Below Bask | Basic | Proficient | Advanced |  |  |
| All Students | $\begin{aligned} & 2012-2013 \\ & \text { 2011-2012 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & 100 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8 \% \\ 10 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \% \\ 9 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \% \\ & 22 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \% \\ & 59 \% \end{aligned}$ | $79 \%$ <br> $81 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & 76 \% \\ & 80 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Historically Underperforming | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 16\% | 24\% | 27\% | 32\% | 60\% | 60\% |
| IEP | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 33\% | 25\% | 42\% | 0\% | 42\% | 37\% |
| English Language Learner | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 17\% | 24\% | 22\% | 37\% | 59\% | 62\% |
| Male | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 11\% | 17\% | 19\% | 53\% | 72\% | 75\% |
| Female | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 6\% | 10\% | 28\% | 57\% | 84\% | 78\% |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Asian (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Black or African American (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Hispanic (any race) | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 19\% | 30\% | 26\% | 26\% | 52\% | 57\% |
| Multi-Racial (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| White (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 6\% | 9\% | 24\% | 61\% | 84\% | 83\% |
| Native Hawaiian/other Pacific Islander (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Migrant | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |

## District PSSA Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

| Student Group ${ }^{1}$ | Academic Year | Participation Rate | Percentage of students in each Performance Level |  |  |  | Percentage of students Proficient and above |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Below Basic | Basic | Pronclient | Advanced | 0 20 40 60 80 100 |  |
| All Students | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2012-2013 } \\ & 2011-2012 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ 99 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \% \\ & 8 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \% \\ & 14 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26 \% \\ & 26 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 51 \% \\ & 57 \% \end{aligned}$ | $77 \%$ <br> $78 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & 74 \% \\ & 76 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Historically Underperforming | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 16\% | 22\% | 30\% | 33\% | 63\% | 56\% |
| IEP | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 29\% | 57\% | 7\% | 7\% | 三 $14 \%$ | 32\% |
| English Language Learner | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 16\% | 20\% | 31\% | 33\% | 64\% | 58\% |
| Male | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 13\% | 12\% | 26\% | 48\% | 74\% | 72\% |
| Female | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 3\% | 16\% | 27\% | 54\% | 81\% | 75\% |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Asian (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Black or African American (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Hispanic (any race) | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 7\% | 27\% | $33 \%$ | 33\% | 67\% | 53\% |
| Multi-Racial (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| White (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 10\% | 12\% | 26\% | 53\% | 79\% | 80\% |
| Native Hawaiian/other Pacific Islander (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Migrant | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |

## District Keystone Exam Results in Grade 11 Mathematics

| Student Group ${ }^{1}$ | Academic Year | Participation Rate | Percentage of students in each Performance Level |  |  |  | Percentage of students Proficient and above |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 |  |  | Below Basic | Basic | Proficient | Advaneed | $\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 20 & 40 & 60 & 80 & 100\end{array}$ |  |
| All Students | $\begin{aligned} & 2012-2013 \\ & 2011-2012 \end{aligned}$ | $99 \%$ - | 6\% | 25\% | 45\% | 24\% | -69\% | 64\% |
| Historically Underperforming | 2012-2013 | 97\% | 10\% | 33\% | 43\% | 13\% | 57\% | 41\% |
| IEP | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| English Language Learner | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 2012-2013 | 96\% | 13\% | 17\% | 54\% | 17\% | 71\% | 44\% |
| Male | 2012-2013 | 99\% | 8\% | 20\% | 45\% | 28\% | 72\% | 63\% |
| Female | 2012-2013 | 99\% | 3\% | 31\% | 45\% | 21\% | 66\% | 65\% |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Asian (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Black or African American (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Hispanic (any race) | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 17\% | 25\% | 50\% | 8\% | 58\% | 39\% |
| Multi-Racial (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| White (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | 98\% | 5\% | 26\% | 44\% | 26\% | 70\% | 71\% |
| Native Hawaiian/other Pacific Islander (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Migrant | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |

## District Overall Results in Readling

This table captures the participation and performance results of students overall and by disaggregated group who took the PSSA in grades 3-8, and Keystone Exams in grade 11.

| All Student Groups ${ }^{1}$ | Participation ${ }^{3}$ |  |  | Performance ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tested $\#^{2}$ | Enrolled Assessed \% |  | Percentage of students in each Performance Level |  |  |  | Percentage of students Proficient and above: |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Below Basic | Baste | Proficient | Advanced |  | 40 | 60 | ${ }^{80}$ | 100 |
| All Students | 1,067 | 1,091 | 99\% | 12\% | 14\% | 43\% | 30\% | 73\% Goal $70 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Historically Underperforming | 411 | 425 | 99\% | 24\% | 19\% | 39\% | 17\% | 57\% $600152 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| IEP | 93 | 95 | 98\% | 53\% | 23\% | 19\% | 5\% | 25\% Goan 32\% |  |  |  |  |
| English Language Learner ${ }^{5}$ | 30 | 30 | 97\% | 47\% | 40\% | 13\% | 0\% | = $130.600117 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 359 | 373 | 99\% | 20\% | 19\% | 42\% | 18\% | $60 \%$ 60al $53 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 548 | 561 | 99\% | 17\% | 14\% | 41\% | 29\% | 70\% - 0 00165\% |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 519 | 530 | 99\% | 8\% | 15\% | 45\% | 32\% | 77\% 6 6017 75\% |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native (not Hispanic) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asian (not Hispanic) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Black or African American (not Hispanic) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hispanic (any race) | 141 | 147 | 99\% | 20\% | 22\% | 43\% | 15\% | 58\% |  |  | 199\% |  |
| Multi-Racial (not Hispanic) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| White (not Hispanic) | 912 | 930 | 99\% | 11\% | 13\% | 43\% | 33\% | 76\% |  |  | 4 | 600177\% |
| Native Hawailan/other Pacific Islander (not Hispanic) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Migrant | 11 | 12 | 92\% | 45\% | 27\% | 27\% | 0\% | 27\% | 6031 |  |  |  |

## District PSSA Results in Grade 3 Reading

| Student Group ${ }^{\text { }}$ | Academic Year | Participation Rate | Percentage of students in each Performance Level |  |  |  | Percentage of students Proficient and above |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 |  |  | Below Bask | Bask | Proficient | Advanced |  | 100 |  |
| All Students | $\begin{aligned} & 2012-2013 \\ & 2011-2012 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 99 \% \\ 100 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \% \\ & 17 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8 \% \\ 12 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56 \% \\ & 48 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \% \\ & 24 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r}76 \% \\ \hline \hline 72 \%\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 73 \% \\ & 74 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Historically Underperforming | 2012-2013 | 98\% | 29\% | 9\% | 52\% | 9\% | 62\% |  | 58\% |
| IEP | 2012-2013 | 93\% | 62\% | 8\% | 23\% | 8\% | 31\% |  | 39\% |
| English Language Learner | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | - |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 2012-2013 | 98\% | 25\% | 11\% | 56\% | 9\% | 65\% |  | 58\% |
| Male | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 20\% | 6\% | 56\% | 18\% | 74\% |  | 70\% |
| Female | 2012-2013 | 99\% | 11\% | 11\% | 56\% | 21\% | 78\% |  | 77\% |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | - |
| Asian (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | - |
| Black or African American (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | - |
| Hispanic (any race) | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 29\% | 17\% | 50\% | 4\% | 54\% |  | 52\% |
| Multi-Racial (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | - |
| White (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | 99\% | 13\% | 7\% | 57\% | 22\% | 80\% |  | 81\% |
| Native Hawaiian/other Pacific Islander (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | - |
| Migrant | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | : - | - |  |  | - |

## District PSSA Results in Grade 4 Reading

| Student Group ${ }^{1}$ | Academic Year | Participation Rate | Percentage of students in each Performance Level |  |  |  | Percentage of students Proficient and above |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Below 8asic | Basic | Profictent | Adranced | 0 20 40 60 80 100 |  |
| All Students | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2012-2013 } \\ & 2011-2012 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & 100 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \% \\ & 12 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \% \\ & 13 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38 \% \\ & 44 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \% \\ & 31 \% \end{aligned}$ | $68 \%$ <br> $75 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66 \% \\ & 72 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Historically Underperforming | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 23\% | 27\% | 34\% | 16\% | 50\% | 49\% |
| IEP | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 58\% | 17\% | 8\% | 17\% | 25\% | 32\% |
| English Language Learner | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 19\% | 29\% | 38\% | 15\% | 52\% | 49\% |
| Male | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 12\% | 18\% | 38\% | 32\% | 70\% | 62\% |
| Female | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 11\% | 23\% | 37\% | 29\% | 66\% | 71\% |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Asian (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Black or African American (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Hispanic (any race) | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 15\% | 30\% | 30\% | 25\% | 55\% | 45\% |
| Multi-Racial (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| White (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 11\% | 18\% | 39\% | 31\% | 70\% | 74\% |
| Native Hawailan/other Pacific Islander (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Migrant | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |

## District PSSA Results in Grade 5 Reading

| Student Group ${ }^{1}$ | Academic Year | Participation Rate | Percentage of students in each Performance Level |  |  |  | Percentage of students Proficient and above |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Below Basic | Aasic | Proficient | Advanced | $\begin{array}{lllllll}0 & 20 & 40 & 60 & 80 & 100\end{array}$ |  |
| ${ }^{6}$ All Students | $\begin{aligned} & 2012-2013 \\ & 2011-2012 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 97 \% \\ 100 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \% \\ & 23 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \% \\ & 18 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46 \% \\ & 37 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \% \\ & 21 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 62 \% \\ \hline \hline 58 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 61 \% \\ & 65 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Historically Underperforming | 2012-2013 | 94\% | 40\% | 16\% | 37\% | 6\% | 44\% | 42\% |
| IEP | 2012-2013 | 93\% | 79\% | 14\% | 7\% | 0\% | $=7 \%$ | 23\% |
| English Language Learner | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 2012-2013 | 95\% | 35\% | 18\% | 40\% | 7\% | 47\% | 43\% |
| Male | 2012-2013 | 96\% | 32\% | 14\% | 41\% | 14\% | 55\% | 57\% |
| Female | 2012-2013 | 99\% | 17\% | 14\% | 51\% | 18\% | 69\% | 65\% |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Asian (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Black or African American (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Hispanic (any race) | 2012-2013 | 89\% | 29\% | 17\% | 50\% | 4\% | 54\% | 39\% |
| Multi-Racial (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| White (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | 99\% | 21\% | 14\% | 46\% | 19\% | 65\% | 69\% |
| Native Hawaiian/other Pacific Islander (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Migrant | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |

## District PSSA Results in Grade 6 Reading

| Student Group ${ }^{1}$ | Academic Year | Participation Rate | Percentage of students in each Performance Level |  |  |  | Percentage of students Proficient and above |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Below Bask | Basic | Profkicent | Aduanced | 0 20 40 60 80 100 |  |
| All Students | $\begin{aligned} & 2012-2013 \\ & 2011-2012 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 99 \% \\ 100 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \% \\ & 10 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \% \\ & 23 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \% \\ & 32 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39 \% \\ & 34 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 70 \% \\ & \hline \hline 6 \% \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \% \\ & 68 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Historically Underperforming | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 25\% | 20\% | 28\% | 27\% | 56\% | 46\% |
| IEP | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 65\% | 24\% | 6\% | 6\% | 二12\% | 23\% |
| English Language Learner | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 19\% | 20\% | 31\% | 30\% | 61\% | 48\% |
| Male | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 18\% | 17\% | 23\% | 42\% | 65\% | 59\% |
| Female | 2012-2013 | 99\% | 9\% | 15\% | 40\% | 35\% | 75\% | 71\% |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Asian (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Black or African American (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Hispanic (any race) | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 13\% | 22\% | 48\% | 17\% | 65\% | 43\% |
| Multi-Racial (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| White (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | 99\% | 14\% | 15\% | 29\% | 42\% | 71\% | 72\% |
| Native Hawaiian/other Pacific Islander (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Migrant | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |

## District: PSSA Results in Grade 7 Reading



## District PSSA Results in Grade 8 Reading

| Student Group ${ }^{1}$ | Academic Year | Participation Rate | Percentage of students in each Performance Level |  |  |  | Percentage of students Proficient and above |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Below Basic | Basic | Proficient | Advanced |  |  |
| All Students | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2012-2013 } \\ & 2011-2012 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ 99 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13 \% \\ 6 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \% \\ & 13 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \% \\ & 31 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 51 \% \\ & 50 \% \end{aligned}$ | $76 \%$ <br> $81 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & 77 \% \\ & 79 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Historically Underperforming | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 22\% | 14\% | $34 \%$ | 30\% | 64\% | 61\% |
| IEP. | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 64\% | 14\% | 14\% | 7\% | 21\% | 35\% |
| English Language Learner | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 20\% | 15\% | 36\% | 30\% | 66\% | 63\% |
| Male | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 19\% | 13\% | 25\% | 43\% | 67\% | 72\% |
| Female | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 5\% | 8\% | 24\% | 63\% | $87 \%$ | 83\% |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Asian (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Black or African American (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Hispanic (any race) | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 33\% | 0\% | 40\% | 27\% | 67\% | 58\% |
| Multi-Racial (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| White (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 11\% | 12\% | 23\% | 54\% | $77 \%$ | 83\% |
| Native Hawaiian/other Pacific Islander (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Migrant | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |

## District Keystone Exam Results in Girade 11 Reading

| Student Group ${ }^{1}$ | Academic Year | Participation Rate | Percentage of students in each Performance Level |  |  |  | Percentage of students Proficient and above |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 |  |  | Below Basic | Basic | Proficen | Advonced |  |  |
| All Students | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2012-2013 } \\ & 2011-2012 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{99 \%}{-}$ | 4\% | 12\% | 75\% | 9\% | 84\% | $75 \%$ - |
| Historically Underperforming | 2012-2013 | 97\% | 10\% | 17\% | 67\% | 7\% | 73\% | 55\% |
| IEP | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| English Language Learner | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 2012-2013 | 96\% | 13\% | 4\% | 75\% | 8\% | 83\% | 58\% |
| Male | 2012-2013 | 99\% | 8\% | 13\% | 71\% | 8\% | 79\% | 70\% |
| Female | 2012-2013 | 99\% | 0\% | 10\% | 79\% | 10\% | -90\% | 80\% |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Asian (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Black or African American (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Hispanic (any race) | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 17\% | 8\% | 75\% | 0\% | 75\% | 54\% |
| Multi-Racial (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| White (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | 98\% | 3\% | 12\% | 75\% | 9\% | 84\% | 81\% |
| Native Hawaiian/other Pacific Islander (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Migrant | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | * - | - |  | - |

## District PSSA Results in Grade 4 Science

| Student Group ${ }^{1}$ | Academic Year | Participation Rate | Percentage of students in each Performance Level |  |  |  | Percentage of students Proficient and above |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Below Basic | \&asic | Proficient | Advanced | 0 20 40 60 80 100 |  |
| All Students | $\begin{aligned} & 2012-2013 \\ & 2011-2012 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 99 \% \\ 100 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \% \\ & 4 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \% \\ & 14 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39 \% \\ & 47 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41 \% \\ & 35 \% \end{aligned}$ | $79 \%$ <br> $82 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & 78 \% \\ & 82 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Historically Underperforming | 2012-2013 | 98\% | 9\% | 22\% | 36\% | 33\% | 69\% | 64\% |
| IEP | 2012-2013 | 92\% | 27\% | 9\% | 36\% | 27\% | 64\% | 53\% |
| English Language Learner | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 2012-2013 | 98\% | 6\% | 26\% | 36\% | $32 \%$ | 68\% | 64\% |
| Male | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 6\% | 15\% | 37\% | 43\% | 79\% | 77\% |
| Female | 2012-2013 | 99\% | 7\% | 13\% | 41\% | 38\% | 79\% | 79\% |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Asian (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Black or African American (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Hispanic (any race) | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 10\% | 20\% | 45\% | 25\% | 70\% | 56\% |
| Multi-Racial (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| White (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | 99\% | 6\% | 13\% | 37\% | 43\% | 81\% | 87\% |
| Native Hawaiian/other Pacific Islander (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Migrant | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |

## District PSSA Results in Grade 8 Science

| NStudent: Group ${ }^{1}$ | Academic Year | Participation Rate | Percentage of students in each Performance Level |  |  |  | Percentage of students Proficient and above |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Below Basis | Basic | Proficlent | Advanced | 0 20 40 60 80 100 |  |
| All Students | $\begin{aligned} & 2012-2013 \\ & 2011-2012 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & 100 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \% \\ & 17 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \% \\ & 19 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \% \\ & 40 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \% \\ & 25 \% \end{aligned}$ | $64 \%$ <br> $64 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \% \\ & 59 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Historically Underperforming | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 30\% | 22\% | 30\% | 19\% | - $48 \%$ | 38\% |
| IEP | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 71\% | 14\% | 7\% | 7\% | 二 $14 \%$ | 22\% |
| English Language Learner | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 30\% | 21\% | 31\% | 18\% | 49\% | 39\% |
| Male | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 26\% | 16\% | 21\% | 37\% | 58\% | 61\% |
| Female | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 8\% | 21\% | 46\% | 25\% | 71\% | 58\% |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Asian (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Black or African American (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Hispanic (any race) | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 33\% | 27\% | 27\% | 13\% | 40\% | 32\% |
| Multi-Racial (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| White (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 16\% | 17\% | 32\% | 35\% | 67\% | 70\% |
| Native Hawaiian/other Pacific Islander (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | * - | - |  | - |
| Migrant | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |

## District Keystone Exam Results in Grade 11 Science

| Student Group ${ }^{1}$ | Academic Year | Participation Rate | Percentage of students in each Performance Level |  |  |  | Percentage of students Proficient and above |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Below lask | 8ssic | Proficient | Advanced | $0 \quad 20 \quad 40 \quad 60 \quad 80$ | 100 |  |
| All Students | $\begin{aligned} & 2012-2013 \\ & 2011-2012 \end{aligned}$ | 98\% |  | $37 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $4 \%$ | 45\% |  | 45\% |
| Historically Underperforming | 2012-2013 | 97\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 0\% | 33\% |  | 24\% |
| IEP | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | - |
| English Language Learner | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | - |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 2012-2013 | 96\% | 33\% | 29\% | 38\% | 0\% | 38\% |  | 25\% |
| Male | 2012-2013 | 97\% | 16\% | 36\% | 45\% | 3\% | 48\% |  | 46\% |
| Female | 2012-2013 | 99\% | 19\% | 39\% | 37\% | 4\% | 42\% |  | 44\% |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | - |
| Asian (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | - |
| Black or African American (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | - |
| Hispanic (any race) | 2012-2013 | 100\% | 50\% | 42\% | 8\% | 0\% | $=8 \%$ |  | 21\% |
| Multi-Racial (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | - |
| White (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | 98\% | 15\% | 38\% | 45\% | 3\% | 48\% |  | 52\% |
| Native Hawaiian/other Pacific Islander (not Hispanic) | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | - |
| Migrant | 2012-2013 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | - |

Pennsylvania's accountability system includes data on student performance on the other academic indicators, attendance and graduation rate. Both measures are based on data from the previous academic year. Attendance rates measure the number of days that a student was both enrolled and present in the school. Research shows that a strong relationship exists between regular attendance and academic performance. A four-year adjusted cohort graduation rate and a five-year adjusted cohort graduation rate are calculated. Graduates for a particular year are the number of students graduating in four years or five years with a regular diploma. A four-year cohort is described as students entering the 9th grade for the first time four years earlier plus "transfers in" minus "transfers out" over four years. A five-year cohort is described as students entering the 9th grade for the first time five years earlier plus "transfers in" minus "transfers out" over five years. The graduation rate is the number of graduates for a particular year divided by the four-year or five-year cohort multiplied by 100 .

## Attendance and Graduation Measures

|  | Attendance Measure ${ }^{1}$ Attendance Goal: 90\% |  |  | Graduation Measure ${ }^{2}$ Graduation Goal: 85\% |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Student Groups ${ }^{3}$ |  | District | State |  | $\begin{gathered} 4 \mathrm{yr} \\ \text { District } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \mathrm{yr} \\ \text { District } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \mathrm{yr} \\ & \text { State } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \mathrm{yr} \\ \text { State }^{5} \end{gathered}$ |
| All Students | $\checkmark$ | 95\% | 94\% | $\checkmark$ | 90\% |  | 84\% |  |
| Historically Underperforming ${ }^{4}$ | $\checkmark$ | 93\% | 93\% | $\checkmark^{5}$ | 83\% |  | 75\% |  |
| IEP | $\checkmark$ | 92\% | 93\% | $\checkmark$ | 93\% |  | 70\% |  |
| English Language Learner | $\checkmark$ | 95\% | 94\% | - | - |  | 63\% |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged | $\checkmark$ | 94\% | 93\% | $\checkmark$ | 81\% |  | 74\% |  |
| Male | $\checkmark$ | 95\% | 94\% | $\checkmark$ | 87\% |  | 81\% |  |
| Female | $\checkmark$ | 94\% | 94\% | $\checkmark$ | 94\% |  | 86\% |  |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native (not Hispanic) | - | - | 93\% | - | - |  | 74\% |  |
| Asian (not Hispanic) | - | - | 96\% | - | - |  | 89\% |  |
| Black or African American (not Hispanic) | $\checkmark$ | 93\% | 92\% | - | : - |  | 67\% |  |
| Hispanic (any race) | $\checkmark$ | 95\% | 93\% | $\times$ | 75\% |  | 68\% |  |
| -) Multi-Racial (not Hispanic) | - | - | 94\% | - | - |  | 76\% |  |
| $\omega$ White (not Hispanic) | $\checkmark$ | 95\% | 95\% | $\checkmark$ | 92\% |  | 89\% |  |
| Native Hawaiian/other Pacific Islander (not Hispanic) | - | - | 96\% | - | - |  | 88\% |  |
| Migrant | $\checkmark$ | 96\% | 95\% | - | - |  | 78\% |  |

Special Education Program
READ 180 Lexile Scores
2013-2014

| Student | Grade | Lexile | First Test <br> Date | Lexile | Last Test <br> Date | Growth in Lexile |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
|  | 5 | 256 | $9 / 24 / 2013$ | 512 | $5 / 16 / 2014$ | 256 |
|  | 5 | 515 | $8 / 22 / 2013$ | 581 | $5 / 16 / 2014$ | 66 |
|  | 5 | 201 | $9 / 12 / 2013$ | 334 | $5 / 16 / 2014$ | 133 |
|  | 5 | 125 | $9 / 13 / 2013$ | 344 | $5 / 16 / 2014$ | 219 |
|  | 5 | 777 | $8 / 20 / 2013$ | 514 | $5 / 30 / 2014$ | $(263)$ |
|  | 5 | 510 | $8 / 22 / 2013$ | 381 | $5 / 16 / 2014$ | $(129)$ |
|  | 5 | 286 | $8 / 22 / 2013$ | 325 | $5 / 16 / 2014$ | 39 |
|  | 5 | 716 | $8 / 22 / 2013$ | 779 | $5 / 16 / 2014$ | 63 |
|  | 5 | 560 | $8 / 22 / 2013$ | 478 | $5 / 16 / 2014$ | $(82)$ |

Grade Level Mean - 514

| Student | Grade | Lexile | First Test Date | Lexile | Last Test <br> Date | Growth in Lexile |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6 | 415 | 8/27/2013 | 397 | 5/6/2014 | (18) |
|  | 6 | 25 | 9/12/2013 | 224 | 5/22/2014 | 199 |
|  | 6 | 128 | 8/23/2013 | 188 | 5/22/2014 | 60 |
|  | 6 | 325 | 8/23/2013 | 181 | 5/19/2014 | (144) |
|  | 6 | 339 | 8/23/2013 | 431 | 5/22/2014 | 92 |
|  | 6 | 256 | 8/23/2013 | 284 | 5/19/2014 | 28 |
| Grade level Mean - 558 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Student | Grade | Lexile | First Test <br> Date | Lexile | Last Test <br> Date | Growth in Lexile |
|  | 7 | 244 | 8/26/2013 | 536 | 5/2/2014 | 292 |
|  | 7 | 99 | 8/26/2013 | 229 | 5/2/2014 | 130 |
|  | 7 | 607 | 8/27/2013 | 723 | 5/6/2014 | 116 |
|  | 7 | 825 | 8/27/2013 | 925 | 5/2/2014 | 100 |
|  | 7 | 638 | 9/17/2013 | 735 | 4/10/2014 | 97 |
|  | 7 | 556 | 8/27/2013 | 638 | 5/2/2014 | 82 |
|  | 7 | 273 | 8/27/2013 | 318 | 5/2/2014 | 45 |
|  | 7 | 501 | 8/26/2013 | 419 | 5/6/2014 | (82) |
|  | 7 | 305 | 8/26/2013 | 226 | 5/2/2014 | (79) |
|  | 7 | 216 | 8/27/2013 | 158 | 5/2/2014 | (58) |
| Grade level Mean - 662 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Student | Grade | Lexile | First Test Date | Lexile | Last Test <br> Date | Growth in Lexile |
|  | 8 | 292 | 8/21/2013 | 573 | 5/15/2014 | 281 |
|  | 8 | 804 | 8/21/2013 | 903 | 5/15/2014 | 99 |
|  | 8 | 645 | 8/26/2013 | 664 | 5/15/2014 | 19 |
|  | 8 | 881 | 8/21/2013 | 946 | 5/15/2014 | 65 |
|  | 8 | 405 | 8/21/2013 | 467 | 5/15/2014 | 62 |
| Grade level Mean - 831 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

On average students are expected to grow approximately $75-100$ Lexiles per year. Of the thirty ( 30 ) students that participated in READ 180, thirteen (13) students met or exceeded the average gain in Lexile points anticipated. Eight (8) additional students showed positive Lexile gains, but not to the level anticipated. Nine (9) students showed a negative growth pattern.

Special Education Program
STAR ASSESSMENT READING SCALED SCORES
2013-2014

| Student | Grade | Scaled Score | First Test Date | Scaled Score | Last Test Date | Growth in Scaled Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 | 277 | 10/3/1900 | 365 | 5/7/2014 | 88 |
|  | 5 | 319 | 8/23/2013 | 485 | 5/7/2014 | 166 |
|  | 5 | 391 | 8/27/2013 | 506 | 5/7/2014 | 115 |
|  | 5 | 580 | 8/27/2013 | 624 | 5/6/2014 | 44 |
|  | 5 | 264 | 9/5/2013 | 527 | 5/7/2014 | 263 |
|  | 5 | 240 | 8/27/2013 | 375 | 5/15/2014 | 135 |
|  | 5 | 265 | 8/27/2013 | 369 | 5/7/2014 | 104 |
|  | 5 | 268 | 8/27/2013 | 349 | 5/7/2014 | 81 |
|  | 5 | 572 | 8/27/2013 | 683 | 5/7/2014 | 111 |
|  | 5 | 255 | 8/27/2013 | 203 | 5/7/2014 | (52) |
| Student | Grade | Scaled Score | First Test Date | Scaled Score | Last Test Date | Growth in Scaled Score |
|  | 6 | 398 | 9/3/2013 | 478 | 5/7/2014 | 80 |
|  | 6 | 266 | 9/3/2013 | 399 | 5/7/2014 | 133 |
|  | 6 | 328 | 9/3/2013 | 396 | 5/7/2014 | 68 |
|  | 6 | 93 | 9/3/2013 | 249 | 5/7/2014 | 156 |
|  | 6 | 358 | 9/3/2013 | 348 | 5/7/2014 | (10) |
|  | 6 | 276 | 9/3/2013 | 413 | 11/12/2013 | 137 |
| Student | Grade | Scaled Score | First Test Date | Scaled Score | Last Test Date | Growth in Scaled Score |
|  | 7 | 313 | 8/29/2013 | 529 | 5/7/2014 | 216 |
|  | 7 | 399 | 8/29/2013 | 455 | 5/7/2014 | 56 |
|  | 7 | 348 | 8/29/2013 | 378 | 5/7/2014 | 30 |
|  | 7 | 430 | 8/29/2013 | 686 | 5/7/2014 | 256 |
|  | 7 | 555 | 8/29/2013 | 428 | 5/7/2014 | (127) |
|  | 7 | 518 | 8/26/2013 | 705 | 5/7/2014 | 187 |
|  | 7 | 677 | 8/29/2013 | 706 | 5/7/2014 | 29 |
|  | 7 | 654 | 8/26/2013 | 816 | 5/7/2014 | 162 |
|  | 7 | 342 | 8/26/2013 | 471 | 5/7/2014 | 129 |
|  | 7 | 559 | 8/26/2013 | 546 | 5/7/2014 | (13) |
|  | 7 | 248 | 8/29/2013 | 257 | 1/13/2014 | 9 |
|  | 7 | 555 | 8/29/2013 | 598 | 5/7/2014 | 43 |
|  | 7 | 224 | 8/29/2013 | 296 | 5/7/2014 | 72 |
|  | 7 | 468 | 8/26/2013 | 419 | 5/7/2014 | (49) |
|  | 7 | 652 | 9/4/2013 | 546 | 5/13/2014 | (106) |
| Student | Grade | Scaled Score | First Test Date | Scaled Score | Last Test Date | Growth in Scaled Score |
|  | 8 | 668 | 11/15/2013 | 861 | 1/14/2014 | 193 |
|  | 8 | 608 | 8/28/2013 | 719 | 1/14/2014 | 111 |
|  | 8 | 898 | 8/28/2013 | 882 | 1/14/2014 | (16) |
|  | 8 | 398 | 8/28/2013 | 581 | 5/14/2014 | 183 |
|  | 8 | 803 | 8/28/2013 | 926 | 5/14/2014 | 123 |
|  | 8 | 711 | 8/28/2013 | 894 | 1/14/2014 | 183 |
|  | 8 | 469 | 8/28/2013 | 627 | 5/12/2014 | 158 |
|  | 8 | 964 | 8/28/2013 | 1059 | 5/12/2014 | 95 |
|  | 8 | 720 | 11/15/2013 | 639 | 1/15/2014 | (81) |
|  | 8 | 379 | 8/28/2013 | 578 | 5/14/2014 | 199 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| BERMUDIAN SPRINGS SCHOOL DISTRICT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MONTHLY ENROLLMENT SUMMARY <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 2 0 1 4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GRADE LEVEL | YOG | AUG | SEPT | OCT | NOV | DEC | JAN | FEB | MAR | APR | MAY | JUNE | $\begin{gathered} \text { \# OF } \\ \text { CLASSES } \end{gathered}$ |
| K | 2026 | 141 | 143 | 143 | 140 | 140 | 143 | 141 | 141 | 141 | 141 | 141 | 7 |
| 1 | 2025 | 146 | 143 | 143 | 141 | 139 | 139 | 137 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 6 |
| 2 | 2024 | 163 | 165 | 165 | 162 | 160 | 160 | 159 | 160 | 162 | 161 | 161 | 7 |
| 3 | 2023 | 137 | 137 | 137 | 138 | 136 | 140 | 140 | 140 | 141 | 140 | 140 | 6 |
| 4 | 2022 | 166 | 166 | 164 | 166 | 166 | 167 | 167 | 167 | 166 | 166 | 166 | 7 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { K-4 } \\ \text { TOTAL } \end{gathered}$ |  | 753 | 754 | 752 | 747 | 741 | 749 | 744 | 746 | 748 | 746 | 746 | 33 |
| 5 | 2021 | 150 | 149 | 148 | 149 | 148 | 149 | 149 | 150 | 150 | 148 | 148 | 8 |
| 6 | 2020 | 155 | 151 | 151 | 150 | 149 | 151 | 150 | 150 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 8 |
| 7 | 2019 | 169 | 169 | 168 | 171 | 171 | 171 | 171 | 172 | 171 | 171 | 171 | 7 |
| 8 | 2018 | 150 | 149 | 149 | 151 | 151 | 152 | 150 | 154 | 153 | 152 | 152 | 8 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { MS } \\ \text { TOTAL } \end{gathered}$ |  | 624 | 618 | 616 | 621 | 619 | 623 | 620 | 626 | 622 | 619 | 619 | 31 |
| 9 | 2017 | 153 | 153 | 149 | 146 | 146 | 146 | 148 | 147 | 146 | 147 | 147 | ::::::: |
| 10 | 2016 | 169 | 171 | 169 | 169 | 170 | 165 | 165 | 165 | 163 | 163 | 163 | ::::::: |
| 11 | 2015 | 171 | 169 | 165 | 164 | 163 | 163 | 163 | 162 | 163 | 162 | 162 | ::::::: |
| 12 | 2014 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 152 | 153 | 153 | 152 | 152 | 152 | 152 | ::::::: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { HS } \\ \text { TOTAL } \end{gathered}$ |  | 647 | 647 | 637 | 633 | 631 | 627 | 629 | 626 | 624 | 624 | 624 | ::::::: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { DIST } \\ \text { TOTAL } \end{gathered}$ |  | 2024 | 2019 | 2005 | 2001 | 1991 | 1999 | 1993 | 1998 | 1994 | 1989 | 1989 | ::::::: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { PREV } \\ \text { YR } \\ \text { TOTAL } \end{gathered}$ |  | 2049 | 2035 | 2027 | 2026 | 2027 | 2025 | 2014 | 2003 | 2003 | 2001 | 2000 | ::::::: |


[^0]:    All data on this page (score information and percent of students meeting the College and Career Readiness Benchmark) are based on students who took the same PSAT/NMSQT form. (Wednesday). To view state and national score information and of students meeting the College Readiness Benchmark for the total group (Wednesday and Saturday combined), see the

[^1]:    

[^2]:    Your students performed significantly worse on questions that fall in the dark blue column to the left,
    and significantly better on questions that fall in the light blue column to the right.

